

National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) *Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport* 

Introduction to APROBA-Plus: A probabilistic tool to evaluate and express uncertainty in hazard characterization and exposure assessment of substances.

**Bas Bokkers** 

International Conference on Uncertainty in Risk Analysis, 21-22 Febr. 2019



#### Risk assessment



#### Deterministic

- Risk not quantified (yes/no/maybe there's a risk)
- Assumed to be conservative, but unclear by how much. More conservative with more factors
- Contribution of sources of uncertainty not quantified
- Low information demand
- Fast

#### **APROBA-Plus**

- Risk is not quantified (sens subpop. vs high exposed subpop.), but...
- ...level of conservatism can be set beforehand: RfD: exposure where (with **x%** confidence), **1%** of the population would be subject to less than **M%** decrease in RBC during a lifetime
- Quantifies rel. contribution of sources of uncertainty
- Low info demand
- Fast

#### Probabilistic

- Pop. risk is quantified (x% of pop. has y% risk or increased response)
- Level of conservatism can be set:
  - Magnitude of effect
  - o Incidence
  - Coverage (uncertainty)
- Quantifies rel. contribution of sources of uncertainty
- Detailed info required
- Time consuming

#### APROBA-plus in a nutshell



• Hazard characterization

WHO tool: Approximate probabilistic analysis (APROBA)

- where uncertainty distributions are combined probabilistically,
- Results in  $HD_M^{I}$  distribution (and probabilistic RfD)
- Exposure assessment
  - Addition of existing exposure info to APROBA (APROBA-plus)
- Graphical comparison of hazard and exposure assessment

Food and Chemical Toxicology 110 (2017) 408–417



APROBA-Plus: A probabilistic tool to evaluate and express uncertainty in hazard characterization and exposure assessment of substances

Bas G.H. Bokkers<sup>a,\*</sup>, Marcel J. Mengelers<sup>a</sup>, Martine I. Bakker<sup>a</sup>, Weihsueh A. Chiu<sup>b</sup>, Wout Slob<sup>a</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Department of Veterinary Integrative Biosciences, College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Texas A & M University, College Station, TX, USA

# WHO-IPCS harmonization doc #11



Guidance document on evaluating and expressing uncertainty in hazard characterization

"...... how uncertainties underlying a hazard characterization can be quantitatively evaluated and translated into an overall (again quantitative) statement on the uncertainty in the final outcome (e.g. a reference dose [RfD])."

"The approach discussed should be viewed as an extension of existing approaches of hazard characterization and should not be seen as interfering with existing approaches."

#### Conclusion of IPCS (1)







#### HD<sub>M</sub>I:

dose at which only a small fraction (*I*, *e.g.* 1%) of the population will experience effects  $\ge M$ 

OR

dose where a large fraction (1-I) of the population would be subject to a smaller than *M* effect.



- M: magnitude of the effect Some examples:
  - M = 5% decrease in RBCs
  - M = 10% AChE inhibition
  - M = mild liver lesion
  - M = probability of getting cancer

### Results hazard characterization (1)







#### From externa source / outside APROBA-Plus

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G					
1	TITLE:	stof Xincreased liver weig	ht									
2	INPUTS RELATED TO EXPOSURE											
3				enter available e	ve others en	npty						
4				Exposure unit:	mg/kg bw/d							
5				reported e	xposure	expert opinion on limits						
6	DESCRIPTION	INPUTS*		LCL	UCL	extra LCL	extra UCL					
7												
8	exposure #1	P95 adults		0.0600	0.8000	0.0100	8.0000					

#### Comparison hazard and exposure





### Comparison hazard and exposure



1	А	B	С	D	E	F	G										
1	TITLE:	stof Xincreased liver weigh	ıt	1													
2	INPUTS RELATED TO EXPOSURE																
3				enter available e	xpo values, lea	ve others en	npty	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•
4				Exposure unit:	mg/kg bw/d												
5				reported e	xposure	expert opi	inion on limits					-	-	-	-		-
6	DESCRIPTION	INPUTS*		LCL	UCL	extra LCL	extra UCL		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7									-	-	-	_	-	-			-
8	exposure #1	P95 adults		0.0600	0.8000	0.0100	8.0000					Leg	Legen	Legend:	Legend:	Legend:	Legend:
				<ul> <li> log10 human dose (mg/kg bw bulket in the second second</li></ul>	log10	-1 -2 -3 exposure (mg	1 g/kg bw/d)>	2	2	2	2 3	2 B	2 B	2 B	level 1% adult	level 1%f adults	2 3

## Possible outcome, location (1)



• very likely that the protection goals (M and I) are met



nivalenol--WBC

- Conclusive result
- No further action needed

## Possible outcome, location (2)



#### very likely that the protection goals (M and I) are not met



stof H--increased liver weight

<-- log10 exposure (mg/kg bw/d) -->

- Conclusive result
- Refining exposure or hazard assessment not useful
- Consider measures to reduce exposure

# Possible outcome, location (3a)



the odds are that the protection goals are not met, but it remains possible that they are



- Inconclusive result
- Improve hazard assessment, e.g BMD analysis
- Improve exposure assessment
- Or
- Full probabilistic RA



Small part of the uncertainty ellipse is located in (or close to) the red area. The odds are that the protection goals (M and I) are met, but it remains possible that they are not met



- Inconclusive result
- Improve hazard assessment, e.g BMD analysis
- Improve exposure assessment
- Or
- Full probabilistic RA

# Uncertainty, shape (1)



stof X--increased liver weight



- Inconclusive result •
  - Improve hazard assessment, Ο
  - Improve exposure assessment Ο

## Uncertainty, shape (2)



stof X--increased liver weight



<-- log10 exposure (mg/kg bw/d) -->

#### Ranking

î



- (i) what fraction of the ellipse lies in the green/red area,
- (ii) how remote is the left upper part of the ellipse from the lightcolored diagonal,
- (iii) how adverse is the effect associated with the PoD (i.e. the nature of the effect plus the value of M).



# Concluding remarks



- Visualizes risk and the uncertainty in exposure and hazard
  - Albeit in a sens. subpop. with high exposure
  - Informs follow-up / refinement
- Allows ranking
- Fast, when PoD (NOAEL or BMDL) and exposure are available (outside APROBA-Plus)
- APROBA-Plus is applicable for
  - oral, dermal, inhalation routes
  - subacute, subchronic, chronic, repro/developmental studies
  - All species
- Keep in mind uncertainties which are difficult to be quantified, e.g.
  - due to a complete lack of data
  - because we don't know how (all hazards captured?, study uncertainty)

## Further info



- WHO-IPCS (2017). Guidance document on evaluating and expressing uncertainty in hazard characterization– 2nd edition. IPCS harmonization project document ; no. 11. ISBN 978-92-4-151354-8. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Chiu WA and Slob W (2015). A Unified Probabilistic Framework for Dose-Response Assessment of Human Health Effects. *Environ Health Perspect* 123, 1241-54.
- Bokkers BGH, Mengelers MJ, Bakker MI, Chiu WA and Slob W (2017). APROBA-Plus: A probabilistic tool to evaluate and express uncertainty in hazard characterization and exposure assessment of substances. *Food Chem Toxicol* **110**, 408-417.
- APROBA-Plus Excel tool: <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication</u> /326422432\_APROBA\_PLUS-V100\_v012\_TEMPLATE
- bas.bokkers@rivm.nl

APROBA-Plus-team:

- Martine Bakker (*RIVM*)
- Marcel Mengelers (*RIVM*)
- Weihsueh Chiu (*Texas A&M*)
- Wout Slob (*RIVM*)

