





Current week




Concern about the impact of the coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
(Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned')



Perceived level of information


How well do you feel informed about the following aspects of the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not well informed at all' to 5 'very well informed')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 951–974 participants


Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



Appropriateness of the measures for containment


How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 955–984 participants


Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)



Perceived controllability of the risk of infection


How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 970 participants


Behaviour of others

When dealing with other people, how important is it to you that they take measures to prevent a transmission of the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not important at all' to 5 'very important')




Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of currently being infected with the novel coronavirus at the following locations?
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high')




Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 906–977 participants

Over time



Concern about the impact of the coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)




Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 977–1.035 participants

Perceived level of information

How well do you feel informed about the following aspects of the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 'not well informed at all' to 5 'very well informed') – **Shown: response category '(very) well informed' (values 4 + 5)**



Part of the questionnaire since February 2022


^a Measure was added to the questionnaire at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 946–1.004 participants

Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')




Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May 2020: 480–502 participants

Basis from Jun 2020: 944–1.019 participants

Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'




^a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time
^b Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants
 Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1.031 participants

Current protective measures of the participants I


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 917–1.016 participants

Current protective measures of the participants II


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 917–1.016 participants

Perceived controllability of the risk of infection


How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May 2020: 492–514 participants
 Basis from Jun 2020: 957–1.017 participants

Behaviour of others


When dealing with other people, how important is it to you that they take measures to prevent a transmission of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'not important at all' to 5 'very important')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 983–1.008 participants


Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of currently being infected with the novel coronavirus at the following locations?
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – **Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)**




Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 899–1.014 participants

Age groups



Concern about the impact of the coronavirus


To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – **Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)**



Perceived level of information

How well do you feel informed about the following aspects of the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 'not well informed at all' to 5 'very well informed') – **Shown: response category '(very) well' (values 4 + 5)**



Figures given in percentages

Basis: 262–369 participants in corresponding age group

Evaluation of media coverage


How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 256–364 participants in corresponding age group

Appropriateness of the measures for containment


How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 253–376 participants in corresponding age group

Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 251–363 participants in corresponding age group

Perceived controllability of the risk of infection


How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 265–372 participants in corresponding age group

Behaviour of others


When dealing with other people, how important is it to you that they take measures to prevent a transmission of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'not important at all' to 5 'very important')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 271–375 participants in corresponding age group

Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of currently being infected with the novel coronavirus at the following locations?
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – **Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)**



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 265–373 participants in corresponding age group

24 Mar	510 participants	2020	27–28 Oct	1.006 participants	2020	03–04 Aug	1.007 participants	2021	10–12 May	998 participants	2022
31 Mar	500 participants		10–11 Nov	1.009 participants		17–18 Aug	1.010 participants		24–25 May	1.005 participants	
07 Apr	510 participants		24–25 Nov	1.018 participants		31 Aug – 01 Sep	1.004 participants		07–08 Jun	1.002 participants	
14 Apr	515 participants		08–09 Dec	1.004 participants		14–15 Sep	1.007 participants		05–06 Jul	1.010 participants	
21 Apr	505 participants		17–18 Dec	1.010 participants		28–29 Sep	1.022 participants		02–03 Aug	998 participants	
28 Apr	503 participants		05–06 Jan	1.017 participants		12–13 Oct	1.002 participants		06–07 Sep	998 participants	
05 May	504 participants		19–20 Jan	1.018 participants		26–27 Oct	1.003 participants		04–05 Oct	999 participants	
12 May	510 participants		02–03 Feb	1.004 participants		09–10 Nov	1.003 participants		02–03 Nov	991 participants	
19 May	509 participants		16–17 Feb	997 participants		23–24 Nov	1.007 participants				
26 May	510 participants		02–03 Mar	1.014 participants		07–08 Dec	995 participants				
09–10 Jun	1.015 participants	16–17 Mar	1.012 participants	21–22 Dec	1.005 participants	2022					
23–24 Jun	1.037 participants	30–31 Mar	1.008 participants	04–05 Jan	1.001 participants						
07–08 Jul	1.011 participants	13–14 Apr	1.007 participants	18–19 Jan	1.011 participants						
21–22 Jul	1.037 participants	27–28 Apr	1.024 participants	01–02 Feb	1.014 participants						
04–06 Aug	1.024 participants	11–12 May	1.023 participants	15–16 Feb	1.005 participants						
18–19 Aug	1.033 participants	25–26 May	1.006 participants	01–02 Mar	1.002 participants						
01–02 Sep	1.013 participants	08–09 Jun	1.010 participants	15–16 Mar	1.001 participants						
15–16 Sep	1.026 participants	22–23 Jun	1.005 participants	29–30 Mar	1.003 participants						
29–30 Sep	1.012 participants	06–08 Jul	1.002 participants	12–13 Apr	1.003 participants						
13–14 Oct	1.015 participants	20–21 Jul	1.012 participants	26–27 Apr	1.004 participants						

How were the data collected?

Statistical population:	German-speaking population ages 14 years and over in private households in the Federal Republic of Germany
Sampling:	Samples drawn at random from land line and mobile telephone numbers which can also include telephone numbers not listed in directories (in line with standards set by the Association of German Market Research Institutes – ADM)
Data weighting:	Data was weighted according to gender, education, age, employment, size of city and German federal state to guarantee representativeness
Method:	Telephone interview (CATI omnibus survey, Dual Frame)
Presentation of results:	All figures given in percentages, rounding differences are possible, only valid responses were included (response option ‘don’t know’ was excluded from all analyses)
Conducted by:	Kantar GmbH

About the BfR

The German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, or BfR for short, is responsible for answering questions on all aspects of the health assessment of foods and feeds, consumer products and chemicals. Through its work, it makes a decisive contribution towards ensuring that food, products and the use of chemicals have become safer in Germany. The Institute's main tasks comprise the assessment of existing health risks and identification of new ones, the development of recommendations to limit risks and the transparent communication of this process.

This work results in the scientific advice given to political decision makers. To help with the strategic alignment of its risk communication, the BfR conducts its own research in the field of risk perception. The Institute is independent in its scientific assessments, research and communication. The BfR belongs to the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL).

 *More information at: www.bfr.bund.de/en*

COVID-19/coronavirus:

> [A-Z Index](#) > [C](#) > [COVID-19/corona](#)

Imprint

BfR-Corona-Monitor | 2–3 November 2022

Publisher: German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)
Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10
10589 Berlin
bfr@bfr.bund.de
www.bfr.bund.de/en

Photo: [mathisworks/GettyImages](#)

Use of the results published here is possible with mention of the source
'BfR-Corona-Monitor | 2–3 November 2022'.

This text version is a translation of the original German text, which is the only legally binding version.

German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)

Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10

10589 Berlin

GERMANY

Phone +49 30 18412-0

Fax +49 30 18412-99099

bfr@bfr.bund.de

www.bfr.bund.de/en



German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment