18–19 January 2022
Current week
Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus
To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life? (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned')

- **social relationships**: 49% not concerned (at all), 23% medium, 28% (very) concerned
- **mental health**: 60% not concerned (at all), 19% medium, 21% (very) concerned
- **physical health**: 62% not concerned (at all), 19% medium, 19% (very) concerned
- **economic situation**: 63% not concerned (at all), 19% medium, 17% (very) concerned

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 1.003–1.011 participants
Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?
(Respons scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')

- (very) good: 54
- medium: 27
- (very) bad: 18

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 996 participants
Evaluation of media coverage
How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

- 56% appropriate
- 38% exaggerated
- 6% downplaying

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 990 participants
Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’)

- **Television**: 58 daily, 22 several times/once a week, 20 less/never
- **Radio**: 52 daily, 22 several times/once a week, 27 less/never
- **Personal contacts**: 41 daily, 40 several times/once a week, 19 less/never
- **Print media**: 30 daily, 18 several times/once a week, 52 less/never
- **Internet (excl. social media)**: 29 daily, 30 several times/once a week, 41 less/never
- **Social media**: 17 daily, 14 several times/once a week, 69 less/never

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 1.001–1.011 participants
Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate')

- Regulation on working from home: 90% appropriate, 10% not appropriate
- Mandatory use of masks: 89% appropriate, 11% not appropriate
- Mandatory distance: 87% appropriate, 13% not appropriate
- Quarantine measures: 82% appropriate, 18% not appropriate
- 3G rule: 81% appropriate, 19% not appropriate
- Limiting the number of customers: 78% appropriate, 22% not appropriate
- Cancellation of events: 78% appropriate, 22% not appropriate
- Facility-based vaccine mandate: 72% appropriate, 28% not appropriate
- Contact restriction: 68% appropriate, 32% not appropriate
- 2G rule: 60% appropriate, 40% not appropriate
- 2G plus rule: 56% appropriate, 44% not appropriate

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 975–1.009 participants
# Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?  
*(multiple selection)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>used covers for mouth and nose</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kept more distance to other people</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>washed hands more thoroughly</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>got tested for the coronavirus</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ventilated closed rooms more frequently</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>met friends or family less frequently</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>used disinfectant more frequently</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left home less frequently</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>used Corona-Warn-App</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>built up larger stocks</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>had food delivered more frequently</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages  
Basis: 1.005 participants
Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 ‘not sure at all’ to 5 ‘very sure’)

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 987 participants
Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?

(Response scale: 1 ‘very low’ to 5 ‘very high’)

Proximity to other people: 12% (very low), 21% medium, 67% (very high)
Door handles: 34% (very low), 24% medium, 42% (very high)
Cash: 52% (very low), 21% medium, 27% (very high)
Toys: 53% (very low), 24% medium, 23% (very high)
Dishes and cutlery: 65% (very low), 17% medium, 19% (very high)
Food: 70% (very low), 19% medium, 11% (very high)
Clothing: 75% (very low), 19% medium, 6% (very high)
Pets: 81% (very low), 12% medium, 6% (very high)

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 944–1,007 participants
Over time
Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?

(Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – Shown: response category ‘(very) concerned’ (values 4 + 5)

- **economic situation**
  - 2020: June 9–23, July 1–5, Aug 18, Sept 4, Oct 18, Nov 21, Dec 24
  - 2021: Jan 1–4, Feb 15, Mar 12, Apr 19
  - 2022: Jan 1

- **social relationships**
  - 2021: Oct 19, Nov 22, Dec 23
  - 2022: Jan 1

- **physical health**
  - 2020: June 13–14, July 20, Aug 19, Sept 16–19
  - 2021: Oct 19, Nov 22, Dec 23
  - 2022: Jan 1

- **mental health**
  - 2020: June 10–14, July 1–21, Aug 18–22
  - 2021: Oct 19, Nov 22, Dec 23
  - 2022: Jan 1

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 977–1.035 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 9 June 2020; survey on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.
Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')
Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.
Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’) – Shown: response category ‘daily’

- **television**
- **radio**
- **personal contacts**
- **internet (excl. social media)**
- **print media**
- **social media**

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 983–1,024 participants
Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1.031 participants

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time

b Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

**Appropriateness of the measures for containment I**

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

*Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate'* — **Shown: response category 'appropriate'**

- quarantined measures
- contact restriction
- mandatory distance
- regulation on working from home
- mandatory use of masks

---

Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1.031 participants
Appropriateness of the measures for containment II

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category ‘appropriate’

- Limiting the number of customers
- Facility-based vaccine mandate
- 3G rule
- 2G plus rule
- 2G rule

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (° three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time
b Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages
Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1,031 participants
Current protective measures of the participants I

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? (multiple selection)

- used covers for mouth and nose
- kept more distance to other people
- met friends or family less frequently
- washed hands more thoroughly
- left home less frequently
- ventilated closed rooms more frequently

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 960–1,016 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 30 March 2021; survey on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated
Current protective measures of the participants II

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?

(multiple selection)

- **used disinfectant more frequently**

- **got tested for the coronavirus**
  - March: 40%, April: 49%, May: 59%, June: 64%, July: 59%, August: 60%, September: 60%, October: 58%, November: 61%, December: 61%

- **used Corona-Warn-App**
  - March: 32%, April: 31%, May: 30%, June: 32%, July: 30%, August: 33%, September: 36%, October: 36%, November: 36%, December: 35%

- **built up larger stocks**
  - March: 18%, April: 17%, May: 14%, June: 16%, July: 13%, August: 10%, September: 10%, October: 10%, November: 11%, December: 16%

- **had food delivered more frequently**
  - March: 9%, April: 11%, May: 10%, June: 10%, July: 10%, August: 6%, September: 6%, October: 4%, November: 7%, December: 6%, January: 7%

Figures given in percentages

Part of the questionnaire since 30 March 2021; survey on two (three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Basis: 960–1,016 participants
Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 ‘not sure at all’ to 5 ‘very sure’)

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.

Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May 2020: 492–514 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 971–1,017 participants
Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?

(Responses scale: 1 ‘very low’ to 5 ‘very high’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) high’ (values 4 + 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to other people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door handles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dishes and cutlery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clothing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.
Age groups
Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life? (Response scale: 1 ‘not concerned at all’ to 5 ‘very concerned’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) concerned’ (values 4 + 5)

- Social relationships
  - 14 to 39 years: 32%
  - 40 to 59 years: 28%
  - 60 years and over: 23%

- Mental health
  - 14 to 39 years: 21%
  - 40 to 59 years: 24%
  - 60 years and over: 17%

- Physical health
  - 14 to 39 years: 17%
  - 40 to 59 years: 16%
  - 60 years and over: 24%

- Economic situation
  - 14 to 39 years: 15%
  - 40 to 59 years: 20%
  - 60 years and over: 17%

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 308–350 participants in corresponding age group
Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Respondents were asked: "How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?"

(Response scale: 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’)

---

**14 to 39 years**
- (very) bad: 18
- medium: 31
- (very) good: 51

**40 to 59 years**
- (very) bad: 21
- medium: 22
- (very) good: 57

**60 years and over**
- (very) bad: 17
- medium: 29
- (very) good: 55

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 315–344 participants in corresponding age group
Evaluation of media coverage
How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>downplaying</th>
<th>appropriate</th>
<th>exaggerated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 to 39 years</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 59 years</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 years and over</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 311–344 participants in corresponding age group
**Used channels of information**

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’) – Shown: response category ‘daily’

- **television**
  - 14 to 39 years: 23%
  - 40 to 59 years: 69%
  - 60 years and over: 84%

- **radio**
  - 14 to 39 years: 37%
  - 40 to 59 years: 61%
  - 60 years and over: 58%

- **personal contacts**
  - 14 to 39 years: 38%
  - 40 to 59 years: 46%
  - 60 years and over: 39%

- **print media**
  - 14 to 39 years: 7%
  - 40 to 59 years: 30%
  - 60 years and over: 56%

- **internet (excl. social media)**
  - 14 to 39 years: 30%
  - 40 to 59 years: 36%
  - 60 years and over: 18%

- **social media**
  - 14 to 39 years: 28%
  - 40 to 59 years: 13%
  - 60 years and over: 9%

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 311–350 participants in corresponding age group
Appropriateness of the measures for containment
How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: ‘not appropriate’, ‘appropriate’) – Shown: response category ‘appropriate’

- Regulation on working from home: 90%
- Mandatory use of masks: 88%
- Mandatory distance: 79%
- Quarantine measures: 80%
- 3G rule: 80%
- Limiting the number of customers: 77%
- Cancellation of events: 79%
- Facility-based vaccine mandate: 68%
- Contact restriction: 64%
- 2G rule: 55%
- 2G plus rule: 51%

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 297–350 participants in corresponding age group
Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? (multiple selection)

- used covers for mouth and nose: 95%
- kept more distance to other people: 66%
- washed hands more thoroughly: 70%
- got tested for the coronavirus: 81%
- ventilated closed rooms more frequently: 69%
- met friends or family less frequently: 59%
- used disinfectant more frequently: 57%
- left home less frequently: 49%
- used Corona-Warn-App: 44%
- built up larger stocks: 12%
- had food delivered more frequently: 9%

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 314–349 participants in corresponding age group
Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 ‘not sure at all’ to 5 ‘very sure’)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>not sure (at all)</th>
<th>medium</th>
<th>(very) sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 to 39 years</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 59 years</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 years and over</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 309–343 participants in corresponding age group
Perceived probability of an infection
How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?
(Response scale: 1 ‘very low’ to 5 ‘very high’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) high’ (values 4 + 5)

- **proximity to other people**: 75%
- **door handles**: 43%
- **cash**: 26%
- **toys**: 23%
- **dishes and cutlery**: 25%
- **food**: 16%
- **clothing**: 7%
- **pets**: 5%

**14 to 39 years**
- **proximity to other people**: 71%
- **door handles**: 46%
- **cash**: 31%
- **toys**: 23%
- **dishes and cutlery**: 16%
- **food**: 5%
- **clothing**: 2%
- **pets**: 5%

**40 to 59 years**
- **proximity to other people**: 54%
- **door handles**: 38%
- **cash**: 22%
- **toys**: 22%
- **dishes and cutlery**: 15%
- **food**: 12%
- **clothing**: 8%
- **pets**: 9%

**60 years and over**

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 257–350 participants in corresponding age group
### Dates and sample sizes of the survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 Mar 2020</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>1.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Mar 2020</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Apr 2020</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>1.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Apr 2020</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>1.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Apr 2020</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>1.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Apr 2020</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>1.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 May 2020</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>1.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 May 2020</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>1.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 May 2020</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 May 2020</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09–10 Jun 2020</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>1.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23–24 Jun 2020</td>
<td>1.037</td>
<td>1.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07–08 Jul 2020</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–22 Jul 2020</td>
<td>1.037</td>
<td>1.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04–06 Aug 2020</td>
<td>1.024</td>
<td>1.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–19 Aug 2020</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>1.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01–02 Sep 2020</td>
<td>1.013</td>
<td>1.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–16 Sep 2020</td>
<td>1.026</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29–30 Sep 2020</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>1.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–14 Oct 2020</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>1.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27–28 Oct 2020</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–11 Nov 2020</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>1.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24–25 Nov 2020</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>1.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08–09 Dec 2020</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>1.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17–18 Dec 2020</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>1.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05–06 Jan 2021</td>
<td>1.017</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–20 Jan 2021</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02–03 Feb 2021</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–17 Feb 2021</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02–03 Mar 2021</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14–15 Sep 2021</td>
<td>28–29 Sep</td>
<td>1.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How were the data collected?

**Statistical population:** German-speaking population ages 14 years and over in private households in the Federal Republic of Germany

**Sampling:** Samples drawn at random from land line and mobile telephone numbers which can also include telephone numbers not listed in directories (in line with standards set by the Association of German Market Research Institutes – ADM)

**Data weighting:** Data was weighted according to gender, education, age, employment, size of city and German federal state to guarantee representativeness

**Method:** Telephone interview (CATI omnibus survey, Dual Frame)

**Presentation of results:** All figures given in percentages, rounding differences are possible, only valid responses were included (response option ‘don’t know’ was excluded from all analyses)

**Conducted by:** Kantar GmbH
About the BfR

Do nanoparticles promote the occurrence of allergies? Does apple juice contain too much aluminium? The German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, or BfR for short, is responsible for answering questions on all aspects of the health assessment of foods and feeds, consumer products and chemicals. Through its work, it makes a decisive contribution towards ensuring that food, products and the use of chemicals have become safer in Germany.

The Institute's main tasks comprise the assessment of existing health risks and identification of new ones, the development of recommendations to limit risks and the transparent communication of this process. This work results in the scientific advice given to political decision makers. To help with the strategic alignment of its risk communication, the BfR conducts its own research in the field of risk perception. The Institute is independent in its scientific assessments, research and communication. The BfR belongs to the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL).

More information at: www.bfr.bund.de/en
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