4–5 January 2022

BfR Corona MONITOR
Current week
Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus
To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
(Response scale: 1 ‘not concerned at all’ to 5 ‘very concerned’)

- **Social relationships:**
  - Not concerned (at all): 46%
  - Medium: 26%
  - Very concerned: 28%

- **Mental health:**
  - Not concerned (at all): 63%
  - Medium: 19%
  - Very concerned: 18%

- **Physical health:**
  - Not concerned (at all): 59%
  - Medium: 24%
  - Very concerned: 17%

- **Economic situation:**
  - Not concerned (at all): 70%
  - Medium: 16%
  - Very concerned: 14%
Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’)

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 994 participants
Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 958 participants
# Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Daily</th>
<th>Several times/once a week</th>
<th>Less/never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>television</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radio</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>personal contacts</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>print media</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>internet (excl. social media)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social media</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 992–1,001 participants
Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate')

- **regulation on working from home**: 92% appropriate, 8% not appropriate
- **mandatory use of masks**: 91% appropriate, 9% not appropriate
- **mandatory distance**: 88% appropriate, 12% not appropriate
- **quarantine measures**: 86% appropriate, 14% not appropriate
- **3G rule**: 83% appropriate, 17% not appropriate
- **limiting the number of customers**: 78% appropriate, 22% not appropriate
- **cancellation of events**: 78% appropriate, 22% not appropriate
- **facility-based vaccine mandate**: 77% appropriate, 23% not appropriate
- **contact restriction**: 73% appropriate, 27% not appropriate
- **2G rule**: 66% appropriate, 34% not appropriate
- **2G plus rule**: 61% appropriate, 39% not appropriate

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 960–1,000 participants
# Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used covers for mouth and nose</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kept more distance to other people</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Got tested for the coronavirus</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washed hands more thoroughly</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilated closed rooms frequently</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met friends or family less frequently</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used disinfectant more frequently</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left home less frequently</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used Corona-Warn-App</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built up larger stocks</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had food delivered more frequently</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages  
Basis: 995 participants
Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 ‘not sure at all’ to 5 ‘very sure’)

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 980 participants
Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?

(Response scale: 1 ‘very low’ to 5 ‘very high’)

- **proximity to other people**: (very) low 12, medium 20, (very) high 67
- **door handles**: (very) low 38, medium 26, (very) high 36
- **cash**: (very) low 54, medium 21, (very) high 25
- **toys**: (very) low 58, medium 20, (very) high 22
- **dishes and cutlery**: (very) low 68, medium 17, (very) high 15
- **food**: (very) low 75, medium 16, (very) high 9
- **pets**: (very) low 77, medium 16, (very) high 8
- **clothing**: (very) low 76, medium 16, (very) high 8

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 895–993 participants
Over time
Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?

(Response scale: 1 ‘not concerned at all’ to 5 ‘very concerned’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) concerned’ (values 4 + 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic Situation</th>
<th>Social Relationships</th>
<th>Physical Health</th>
<th>Mental Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 977–1,035 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 9 June 2020; survey on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.
Perceived informedness about what is happening
How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’)

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.
Evaluation of media coverage
How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Figures given in percentages
Basis Mar–May 2020: 480–502 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 952–1.019 participants

BfR-Corona-Monitor | 4–5 January 2022
# Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’) – **Shown: response category ‘daily’**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal contacts</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet (excl. social media)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print media</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of the questionnaire since 27 October 2020; survey on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.
Appropriateness of the measures for containment I

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category ‘appropriate’

- Cancellation of events
- Quarantine measures
- Contact restriction
- Mandatory distance
- Regulation on working from home
- Mandatory use of masks

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time
b Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages
Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1.031 participants
Appropriateness of the measures for containment II

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: ‘not appropriate’, ‘appropriate’) – **Shown: response category ‘appropriate’**

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (or three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

- **a** Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time
- **b** Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

**Figures given in percentages**

Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1,031 participants

---

**limiting the number of customers**

--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
- | 31 | 76 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |

**facility-based vaccine mandate**

--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
- | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |

**3G rule**

--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
- | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |

**2G plus rule**

--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
- | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |

**2G rule**

--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
- | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
Current protective measures of the participants I

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? (multiple selection)

- **used covers for mouth and nose**
  - 95–96
  - 92–96
  - 88–96
  - 98–96
  - 98–97
  - 96–95
  - 96–95
  - 97–97
  - 95–97
  - 95–97
  - 95–96
  - 95–96

- **kept more distance to other people**
  - 87–82
  - 62–79
  - 87–79
  - 74–77
  - 75–76
  - 70–72
  - 67–73
  - 71–67
  - 71–67
  - 76–67
  - 76–67
  - 74–67

- **met friends or family less frequently**
  - 86–79
  - 64–78
  - 74–71
  - 76–67
  - 74–67
  - 71–53
  - 71–53

- **washed hands more thoroughly**
  - 76–73
  - 78–76
  - 82–74
  - 73–70
  - 71–70
  - 74–73
  - 73–71
  - 71–73
  - 67–73
  - 73–73
  - 67–73

- **left home less frequently**
  - 69–70
  - 68–66
  - 66–66
  - 69–67
  - 68–64
  - 64–66
  - 59–67
  - 61–67
  - 67–67
  - 67–67
  - 67–67

- **ventilated closed rooms more frequently**
  - 68–70
  - 71–69
  - 67–67
  - 68–64
  - 64–66
  - 55–67
  - 61–67
  - 67–67
  - 67–67
  - 67–67
  - 67–67

Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 960–1.016 participants
Current protective measures of the participants II

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? (multiple selection)

- **used disinfectant more frequently**
  - Figures given in percentages
  - Basis: 960–1,016 participants

- **got tested for the coronavirus**
  - Figures given in percentages
  - Basis: 960–1,016 participants

- **used Corona-Warn-App**
  - Figures given in percentages
  - Basis: 960–1,016 participants

- **built up larger stocks**
  - Figures given in percentages
  - Basis: 960–1,016 participants

- **had food delivered more frequently**
  - Figures given in percentages
  - Basis: 960–1,016 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 30 March 2021; survey on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.
Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 ‘not sure at all’ to 5 ‘very sure’)

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.

Figures given in percentages
Basis Mar–May 2020: 492–514 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 971–1,017 participants
Perceived probability of an infection
How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?
(Respone scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)
Age groups
Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?

(Response scale: 1 ‘not concerned at all’ to 5 ‘very concerned’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) concerned’ (values 4 + 5)

- Social relationships
  - 14 to 39 years: 34%
  - 40 to 59 years: 28%
  - 60 years and over: 22%

- Mental health
  - 14 to 39 years: 16%
  - 40 to 59 years: 21%
  - 60 years and over: 16%

- Physical health
  - 14 to 39 years: 13%
  - 40 to 59 years: 19%
  - 60 years and over: 20%

- Economic situation
  - 14 to 39 years: 14%
  - 40 to 59 years: 16%
  - 60 years and over: 11%

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 305–347 participants in corresponding age group
Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’)

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 309–344 participants in corresponding age group
Evaluation of media coverage
How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

- **14 to 39 years**
  - Downplaying: 3
  - Appropriate: 65
  - Exaggerated: 32

- **40 to 59 years**
  - Downplaying: 7
  - Appropriate: 53
  - Exaggerated: 40

- **60 years and over**
  - Downplaying: 7
  - Appropriate: 67
  - Exaggerated: 26

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 304–329 participants in corresponding age group
Used channels of information
How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’) – Shown: response category ‘daily’

- **television**: 23%
- **radio**: 32%
- **personal contacts**: 33%
- **print media**: 6%
- **internet (excl. social media)**: 25%
- **social media**: 30%

**14 to 39 years**
- television: 59%
- radio: 56%
- personal contacts: 47%
- print media: 25%
- internet (excl. social media): 29%
- social media: 18%

**40 to 59 years**
- television: 84%
- radio: 62%
- personal contacts: 32%
- print media: 59%
- internet (excl. social media): 19%
- social media: 5%

**60 years and over**
- television: 84%
- radio: 62%
- personal contacts: 32%
- print media: 59%
- internet (excl. social media): 19%
- social media: 5%

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 307–347 participants in corresponding age group
Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: ‘not appropriate’, ‘appropriate’) – Shown: response category ‘appropriate’

- regulation on working from home: 89%
- mandatory use of masks: 90%
- mandatory distance: 85%
- quarantine measures: 86%
- 3G rule: 80%
- limiting the number of customers: 75%
- cancellation of events: 77%
- facility-based vaccine mandate: 71%
- contact restriction: 69%
- 2G rule: 57%
- 2G plus rule: 60%

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 287–346 participants in corresponding age group
Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? (multiple selection)

- Used covers for mouth and nose: 95%
- Kept more distance to other people: 76%
- Got tested for the coronavirus: 83%
- Washed hands more thoroughly: 65%
- Ventilated closed rooms more frequently: 60%
- Met friends or family less frequently: 58%
- Used disinfectant more frequently: 62%
- Left home less frequently: 55%
- Used Corona-Warn-App: 51%
- Built up larger stocks: 48%
- Had food delivered more frequently: 4%

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 309–345 participants in corresponding age group
Perceived controllability of the risk of infection
How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 ‘not sure at all’ to 5 ‘very sure’)

14 to 39 years
- not sure (at all): 24
- medium: 33
- (very) sure: 44

40 to 59 years
- not sure (at all): 36
- medium: 32
- (very) sure: 32

60 years and over
- not sure (at all): 25
- medium: 33
- (very) sure: 42

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 306–341 participants in corresponding age group
Perceived probability of an infection
How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?
(Response scale: 1 ‘very low’ to 5 ‘very high’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) high’ (values 4 + 5)

- Proximity to other people: 85%
- Door handles: 34%
- Cash: 23%
- Toys: 21%
- Dishes and cutlery: 22%
- Food: 10%
- Pets: 7%
- Clothing: 7%

- 14 to 39 years: 65%
- 40 to 59 years: 37%
- 60 years and over: 25%

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 248–346 participants in corresponding age group
## Dates and sample sizes of the survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 Mar</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Mar</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Apr</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Apr</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Apr</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Apr</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 May</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 May</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 May</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 May</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09–10 Jun</td>
<td>1.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23–24 Jun</td>
<td>1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07–08 Jul</td>
<td>1.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–22 Jul</td>
<td>1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04–06 Aug</td>
<td>1.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–19 Aug</td>
<td>1.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01–02 Sep</td>
<td>1.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–16 Sep</td>
<td>1.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29–30 Sep</td>
<td>1.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–14 Oct</td>
<td>1.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27–28 Oct</td>
<td>1.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–11 Nov</td>
<td>1.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24–25 Nov</td>
<td>1.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08–09 Dec</td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17–18 Dec</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05–06 Jan</td>
<td>1.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–20 Jan</td>
<td>1.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02–03 Feb</td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–17 Feb</td>
<td>997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02–03 Mar</td>
<td>1.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–17 Mar</td>
<td>1.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–31 Mar</td>
<td>1.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–14 Apr</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27–28 Apr</td>
<td>1.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–12 May</td>
<td>1.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–26 May</td>
<td>1.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08–09 Jun</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22–23 Jun</td>
<td>1.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06–08 Jul</td>
<td>1.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–21 Jul</td>
<td>1.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03–04 Aug</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17–18 Aug</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Aug – 01 Sep</td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14–15 Sep</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–29 Sep</td>
<td>1.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–13 Oct</td>
<td>1.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–27 Oct</td>
<td>1.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09–10 Nov</td>
<td>1.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23–24 Nov</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07–08 Dec</td>
<td>995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–22 Dec</td>
<td>1.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04–05 Jan</td>
<td>1.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How were the data collected?

**Statistical population:** German-speaking population ages 14 years and over in private households in the Federal Republic of Germany

**Sampling:** Samples drawn at random from land line and mobile telephone numbers which can also include telephone numbers not listed in directories (in line with standards set by the Association of German Market Research Institutes – ADM)

**Data weighting:** Data was weighted according to gender, education, age, employment, size of city and German federal state to guarantee representativeness

**Method:** Telephone interview (CATI omnibus survey, Dual Frame)

**Presentation of results:** All figures given in percentages, rounding differences are possible, only valid responses were included (response option ‘don’t know’ was excluded from all analyses)

**Conducted by:** Kantar GmbH
About the BfR

Do nanoparticles promote the occurrence of allergies? Does apple juice contain too much aluminium? The German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, or BfR for short, is responsible for answering questions on all aspects of the health assessment of foods and feeds, consumer products and chemicals. Through its work, it makes a decisive contribution towards ensuring that food, products and the use of chemicals have become safer in Germany.

The Institute’s main tasks comprise the assessment of existing health risks and identification of new ones, the development of recommendations to limit risks and the transparent communication of this process. This work results in the scientific advice given to political decision makers. To help with the strategic alignment of its risk communication, the BfR conducts its own research in the field of risk perception. The Institute is independent in its scientific assessments, research and communication. The BfR belongs to the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL).

More information at: www.bfr.bund.de/en
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