Current week
Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life? 
(响应规模：1 ‘not concerned at all’ to 5 ‘very concerned’)

- **social relationships**
  - not concerned (at all): 48
  - medium: 31
  - (very) concerned: 22

- **economic situation**
  - not concerned (at all): 57
  - medium: 23
  - (very) concerned: 20

- **mental health**
  - not concerned (at all): 62
  - medium: 20
  - (very) concerned: 18

- **physical health**
  - not concerned (at all): 60
  - medium: 23
  - (very) concerned: 17

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 994–1.002 participants
Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’)

- 46: (very) good
- 32: medium
- 23: (very) bad

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 999 participants
Evaluation of media coverage
How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 964 participants
Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Daily (%)</th>
<th>Several times/once a week (%)</th>
<th>Less/never (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal contacts</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print media</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet (excl. social media)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 995–1.003 participants
Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate')

- **Mandatory use of masks**: 89% appropriate, 11% not appropriate
- **Mandatory tests for returnees**: 88% appropriate, 12% not appropriate
- **Mandatory distance**: 87% appropriate, 13% not appropriate
- **Quarantine measures**: 86% appropriate, 14% not appropriate
- **3G rule**: 81% appropriate, 19% not appropriate
- **Limiting the number of customers**: 76% appropriate, 24% not appropriate
- **Cancellation of events**: 75% appropriate, 25% not appropriate
- **Restriction in the cultural sector**: 71% appropriate, 29% not appropriate
- **2G rule**: 65% appropriate, 35% not appropriate

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 954–997 participants
Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used covers for mouth and nose</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kept more distance to other people</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washed hands more thoroughly</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilated closed rooms more frequently</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used disinfectant more frequently</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Got tested for the coronavirus</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met friends or family less frequently</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used Corona-Warn-App</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left home less frequently</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built up larger stocks</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had food delivered more frequently</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Perceived controllability of the risk of infection
How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus? (Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 991 participants
**Perceived probability of an infection**

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?

*(Response scale: 1 ‘very low’ to 5 ‘very high’)*

- **proximity to other people**: (very) low 11, medium 22, (very) high 67
- **door handles**: (very) low 30, medium 25, (very) high 45
- **cash**: (very) low 47, medium 26, (very) high 26
- **toys**: (very) low 51, medium 23, (very) high 26
- **dishes and cutlery**: (very) low 64, medium 20, (very) high 16
- **food**: (very) low 70, medium 19, (very) high 11
- **pets**: (very) low 80, medium 13, (very) high 7
- **clothing**: (very) low 79, medium 15, (very) high 7

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 921–995 participants
Over time
Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life? (Response scale: 1 ‘not concerned at all’ to 5 ‘very concerned’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) concerned’ (values 4 + 5)

- **economic situation**
- **social relationships**
- **physical health**
- **mental health**

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 977–1,035 participants
Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’)

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated
Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.
**Used channels of information**

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’) – Shown: response category ‘daily’

Part of the questionnaire since 27 October 2020; survey on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.
Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1.031 participants

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time
b Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated
Appropriateness of the measures for containment II

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: ‘not appropriate’, ‘appropriate’) – **shown: response category ‘appropriate’**

- **limiting the number of customers**
- **restriction in the cultural sector**
- **3G rule**
- **2G rule**

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (*three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

* Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time

* Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

**Figures given in percentages**

Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1,031 participants
Current protective measures of the participants I

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? (multiple selection)

- used covers for mouth and nose
- kept more distance to other people
- met friends or family less frequently
- washed hands more thoroughly
- left home less frequently
- ventilated closed rooms more frequently

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 960–1,016 participants
Current protective measures of the participants II

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? (multiple selection)

- used disinfectant more frequently
- had food delivered more frequently
- built up larger stocks
- got tested for the coronavirus
- used Corona-Warn-App

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 960–1,016 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 30 March 2021; survey on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated
Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 ‘not sure at all’ to 5 ‘very sure’)

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated.

Figures given in percentages
Basis Mar–May 2020: 492–514 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 973–1.017 participants
Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?

(Response scale: 1 ‘very low’ to 5 ‘very high’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) high’ (values 4 + 5)

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May 2020: 470–511 participants
Basis from Jun 2020: 895–1.030 participants
Age groups
Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus
To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
(Response scale: 1 ‘not concerned at all’ to 5 ‘very concerned’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) concerned’ (values 4 + 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>14 to 39 years</th>
<th>40 to 59 years</th>
<th>60 years and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>social relationships</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>economic situation</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mental health</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>physical health</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 312–350 participants in corresponding age group
Perceived informedness about what is happening
How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’)

- **14 to 39 years**
  - (very) bad: 24
  - medium: 34
  - (very) good: 42

- **40 to 59 years**
  - (very) bad: 21
  - medium: 26
  - (very) good: 54

- **60 years and over**
  - (very) bad: 23
  - medium: 36
  - (very) good: 41

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 317–349 participants in corresponding age group
Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Downplaying</th>
<th>Appropriate</th>
<th>Exaggerated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 to 39 years</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 59 years</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 years and over</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Used channels of information**

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

*(Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’)* – **Shown: response category ‘daily’**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>14 to 39 years</th>
<th>40 to 59 years</th>
<th>60 years and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>television</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radio</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>personal contacts</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>print media</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>internet (excl. social media)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social media</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages

*Basis: 318–350 participants in corresponding age group*
Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: ‘not appropriate’, ‘appropriate’) – Shown: response category ‘appropriate’

- Mandatory use of masks: 85%
- Mandatory tests for returnees: 92%
- Mandatory distance: 88%
- Quarantine measures: 89%
- 3G rule: 83%
- Limiting the number of customers: 72%
- Cancellation of events: 77%
- Restriction in the cultural sector: 60%
- 2G rule: 50%

14 to 39 years: 85%
40 to 59 years: 84%
60 years and over: 96%

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 290–349 participants in corresponding age group

Cancellation of events, limiting the number of customers, restriction in the cultural sector, and the 2G rule are evaluated as less appropriate compared to mandatory use of masks, mandatory tests for returnees, mandatory distance, and quarantine measures.
Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? (multiple selection)

- **used covers for mouth and nose**: 98% (14 to 39 years), 98% (40 to 59 years), 95% (60 years and over)
- **kept more distance to other people**: 68% (14 to 39 years), 66% (40 to 59 years), 80% (60 years and over)
- **washed hands more thoroughly**: 67% (14 to 39 years), 65% (40 to 59 years), 82% (60 years and over)
- **ventilated closed rooms more frequently**: 64% (14 to 39 years), 67% (40 to 59 years), 71% (60 years and over)
- **used disinfectant more frequently**: 56% (14 to 39 years), 58% (40 to 59 years), 69% (60 years and over)
- **got tested for the coronavirus**: 56% (14 to 39 years), 52% (40 to 59 years), 33% (60 years and over)
- **met friends or family less frequently**: 35% (14 to 39 years), 45% (40 to 59 years), 55% (60 years and over)
- **used Corona-Warn-App**: 42% (14 to 39 years), 42% (40 to 59 years), 30% (60 years and over)
- **left home less frequently**: 27% (14 to 39 years), 36% (40 to 59 years), 45% (60 years and over)
- **built up larger stocks**: 9% (14 to 39 years), 9% (40 to 59 years), 16% (60 years and over)
- **had food delivered more frequently**: 7% (14 to 39 years), 4% (40 to 59 years), 5% (60 years and over)

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 318–349 participants in corresponding age group
Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 ‘not sure at all’ to 5 ‘very sure’)

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 309–349 participants in corresponding age group

14 to 39 years
- not sure (at all): 21
- medium: 39
- (very) sure: 40

40 to 59 years
- not sure (at all): 27
- medium: 33
- (very) sure: 41

60 years and over
- not sure (at all): 19
- medium: 35
- (very) sure: 46
Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?

(Response scale: 1 ‘very low’ to 5 ‘very high’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) high’ (values 4 + 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path</th>
<th>14 to 39 years</th>
<th>40 to 59 years</th>
<th>60 years and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>proximity to other people</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>door handles</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cash</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toys</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dishes and cutlery</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>food</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pets</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clothing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 274–349 participants in corresponding age group
## Dates and sample sizes of the survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18–19 Aug</td>
<td>1.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29–30 Sep</td>
<td>1.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–14 Oct</td>
<td>1.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27–28 Oct</td>
<td>1.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–11 Nov</td>
<td>1.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24–25 Nov</td>
<td>1.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08–09 Dec</td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17–18 Dec</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05–06 Jan</td>
<td>1.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–20 Jan</td>
<td>1.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02–03 Feb</td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–17 Feb</td>
<td>997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02–03 Mar</td>
<td>1.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–17 Mar</td>
<td>1.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–31 Mar</td>
<td>1.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–14 Apr</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27–28 Apr</td>
<td>1.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–12 May</td>
<td>1.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–26 May</td>
<td>1.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08–09 Jun</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22–23 Jun</td>
<td>1.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06–08 Jul</td>
<td>1.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–21 Jul</td>
<td>1.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03–04 Aug</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17–18 Aug</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Aug – 01 Sep</td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14–15 Sep</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–29 Sep</td>
<td>1.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–13 Oct</td>
<td>1.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–27 Oct</td>
<td>1.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09–10 Nov</td>
<td>1.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How were the data collected?

**Statistical population:** German-speaking population ages 14 years and over in private households in the Federal Republic of Germany

**Sampling:** Samples drawn at random from land line and mobile telephone numbers which can also include telephone numbers not listed in directories (in line with standards set by the Association of German Market Research Institutes – ADM)

**Data weighting:** Data was weighted according to gender, education, age, employment, size of city and German federal state to guarantee representativeness

**Method:** Telephone interview (CATI omnibus survey, Dual Frame)

**Presentation of results:** All figures given in percentages, rounding differences are possible, only valid responses were included (response option ‘don’t know’ was excluded from all analyses)

**Conducted by:** Kantar GmbH
About the BfR

Do nanoparticles promote the occurrence of allergies? Does apple juice contain too much aluminium? The German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, or BfR for short, is responsible for answering questions on all aspects of the health assessment of foods and feeds, consumer products and chemicals. Through its work, it makes a decisive contribution towards ensuring that food, products and the use of chemicals have become safer in Germany.

The Institute's main tasks comprise the assessment of existing health risks and identification of new ones, the development of recommendations to limit risks and the transparent communication of this process. This work results in the scientific advice given to political decision makers. To help with the strategic alignment of its risk communication, the BfR conducts its own research in the field of risk perception. The Institute is independent in its scientific assessments, research and communication. The BfR belongs to the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL).

More information at: www.bfr.bund.de/en
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