









# **Current week**





### Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus


To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life? (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned')





# Perceived informedness about what is happening


How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')





# **Evaluation of media coverage**


How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')





### **Used channels of information**


How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'daily', 'several times a week', 'once a week', 'less than once a week', 'never')





### Appropriateness of the measures for containment


How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate')





### **Current protective measures of the participants**


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)* 





# Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus? (Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')




Basis: 980 participants




# Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths? (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high')






# Over time





### Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus


To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life? (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)





# Perceived informedness about what is happening


How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')





### **Evaluation of media coverage**


How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')





### **Used channels of information**


How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'daily', 'several times a week', 'once a week', 'less than once a week', 'never') – **Shown: response category 'daily'** 





# Appropriateness of the measures for containment I

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – **Shown: response category 'appropriate'** 



Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May: 474–512 participants Basis from Jun: 916–1.031 participants


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated



# Appropriateness of the measures for containment II

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – **Shown: response category 'appropriate'** 



Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May: 474–512 participants Basis from Jun: 916–1.031 participants


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated



### Current protective measures of the participants I


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)* 





# Current protective measures of the participants II


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)* 





### Perceived controllability of the risk of infection


How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus? (Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')






### Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths? (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)






# Age groups





### Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus


To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life? (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)





# Perceived informedness about what is happening


How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')





### **Evaluation of media coverage**


How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')





### **Used channels of information**


How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'daily', 'several times a week', 'once a week', 'less than once a week', 'never') – **Shown: response category 'daily'** 





### **Appropriateness of the measures for containment**


How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'





# **Current protective measures of the participants**


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)* 





### Perceived controllability of the risk of infection


How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus? (Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')





# Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths? (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)





### Dates and sample sizes of the survey

### Survey phase 1 (March – May 2020)

| 24 Mar | 510 participants | 28 Apr | 503 participants |
|--------|------------------|--------|------------------|
| 31 Mar | 500 participants | 05 May | 504 participants |
| 07 Apr | 510 participants | 12 May | 510 participants |
| 14 Apr | 515 participants | 19 May | 509 participants |
| 21 Apr | 505 participants | 26 May | 510 participants |
|        |                  |        |                  |

### Survey phase 3 (October 2020 – March 2021)

| 27–28 Oct | 1.006 participants | 05–06 Jan | 1.017 participants |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 10–11 Nov | 1.009 participants | 19–20 Jan | 1.018 participants |
| 24–25 Nov | 1.018 participants | 02-03 Feb | 1.004 participants |
| 08-09 Dec | 1.004 participants | 16-17 Feb | 997 participants   |
| 17–18 Dec | 1.010 participants | 02-03 Mar | 1.014 participants |
|           |                    | I         |                    |

### Survey phase 2 (June – October 2020)

| 09–10 Jun | 1.015 participants | 18–19 Aug | 1.033 participants |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 23–24 Jun | 1.037 participants | 01-02 Sep | 1.013 participants |
| 07–08 Jul | 1.011 participants | 15-16 Sep | 1.026 participants |
| 21–22 Jul | 1.037 participants | 29-30 Sep | 1.012 participants |
| 04-06 Aug | 1.024 participants | 13-14 Oct | 1.015 participants |
|           |                    |           |                    |

### Survey phase 4 (from March 2021)

| 16–17 Mar | 1.012 participants | 25–26 May | 1.006 participants |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 30–31 Mar | 1.008 participants |           |                    |
| 13–14 Apr | 1.007 participants |           |                    |
| 27–28 Apr | 1.024 participants |           |                    |
| 11-12 May | 1.023 participants |           |                    |
|           |                    | 1         |                    |



### How were the data collected?

**Statistical population:** German-speaking population ages 14 years and over in private households in the

Federal Republic of Germany

Sampling: Samples drawn at random from land line and mobile telephone numbers which can also

include telephone numbers not listed in directories (in line with standards set by the

Association of German Market Research Institutes – ADM)

Data weighting: Data was weighted according to gender, education, age, employment, size of city and

German federal state to guarantee representativeness

Method: Telephone interview (CATI omnibus survey, Dual Frame)

**Presentation of results:** All figures given in percentages, rounding differences are possible, only valid responses

were included (response option 'don't know' was excluded from all analyses)

Conducted by: Kantar GmbH



#### **About the BfR**

Do nanoparticles promote the occurrence of allergies? Does apple juice contain too much aluminium? The German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, or BfR for short, is responsible for answering questions on all aspects of the health assessment of foods and feeds, consumer products and chemicals. Through its work, it makes a decisive contribution towards ensuring that food, products and the use of chemicals have become safer in Germany.

The Institute's main tasks comprise the assessment of existing health risks and identification of new ones, the development of recommendations to limit risks and the transparent communication of this process. This work results in the scientific advice given to political decision makers. To help with the strategic alignment of its risk communication, the BfR conducts its own research in the field of risk perception. The Institute is independent in its scientific assessments, research and communication. The BfR belongs to the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL).

i

More information at: www.bfr.bund.de/en

COVID-19/coronavirus:

> A-Z Index > C > COVID-19/corona

### **Imprint**

BfR-Corona-Monitor | 25–26 May 2021

Publisher: German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)

Max-Dohrn-Straße 8-10

10589 Berlin

bfr@bfr.bund.de

www.bfr.bund.de/en

Photo: mathisworks/GettyImages

Use of the results published here is possible with mention of the source 'BfR-Corona-Monitor | 25–26 May 2021'. This text version is a translation of the original German text, which is the only legally binding version.

### **German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment**

Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10

10589 Berlin

**GERMANY** 

Phone +40 30 18412-0

Fax +49 30 18412-99099

bfr@bfr.bund.de

www.bfr.bund.de/en

