Current week
### Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life? 
*(Response scale: 1 ‘not concerned at all’ to 5 ‘very concerned’)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>not concerned (at all)</th>
<th>medium</th>
<th>(very) concerned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>social relationships</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mental health</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>physical health</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>economical situation</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages  
Basis: 988–996 participants
Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’)

- **(very) good**: 47
- **medium**: 32
- **(very) bad**: 21

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 995 participants
Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?  
(Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

- 36% appropriate
- 58% exaggerated
- 6% downplaying

Figures given in percentages  
Basis: 985 participants
### Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

*Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information Channel</th>
<th>Daily</th>
<th>Several Times/Once a Week</th>
<th>Less/Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal contacts</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet (excl. social media)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print media</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 994–997 participants
Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate')

- **Mandatory distance**: 94% appropriate, 6% not appropriate
- **Mandatory use of masks**: 93% appropriate, 7% not appropriate
- **Quarantine measures**: 92% appropriate, 8% not appropriate
- **Regulation on working from home**: 92% appropriate, 8% not appropriate
- **Cancellation of events**: 86% appropriate, 14% not appropriate
- **Closure of cultural institutions**: 66% appropriate, 34% not appropriate
- **National vaccination strategy**: 64% appropriate, 36% not appropriate
- **Contact restriction**: 63% appropriate, 37% not appropriate
- **Closure of day-care centres and schools**: 58% appropriate, 42% not appropriate
- **Closure of gastronomic establishments**: 52% appropriate, 48% not appropriate
- **Closure of shops**: 45% appropriate, 55% not appropriate

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 940–993 participants
# Protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken to protect yourself or your family from the novel coronavirus? (multiple selection)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use covers for mouth and nose</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comply with government regulations</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep more distance to other people</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meet friends and family less frequently</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash hands more thoroughly</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave home less frequently</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilate closed rooms more frequently</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use desinfectant more frequently</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Corona-Warn-App</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build up larger stocks</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have food delivered more frequently</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have taken no measures</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 997 participants
Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 ‘not sure at all’ to 5 ‘very sure’)

- (very) sure: 39
- not sure (at all): 27
- medium: 34

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 973 participants
Perceived probability of infection by transmission pathways

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?

(Response scale: 1 ‘very low’ to 5 ‘very high’)

- Perceived probability of infection:
  - Proximity to other people: 65%
  - Door handles: 45%
  - Cash: 26%
  - Toys: 25%
  - Dishes and cutlery: 18%
  - Food: 11%
  - Pets: 8%
  - Clothing: 5%

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 918–984 participants
Over time
Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life? (Response scale: 1 ‘not concerned at all’ to 5 ‘very concerned’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) concerned’ (values 4 + 5)

- economic situation
- social relationships
- physical health
- mental health

Part of the questionnaire since June 2020; survey on two (** three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 986–1.035 participants
Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’)

* Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (** three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Basis Mar.–May: 499–510 participants
Basis from Jun: 993–1,032 participants
Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (** three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Evaluation of media coverage
How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

* Figures given in percentages
Basis Mar–May: 480–502 participants
Basis from Jun: 965–1.019 participants

* Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (** three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated
Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’) – Shown: response category ‘daily’

Part of the questionnaire since 27–28 October 2020; survey on two consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 983–1,018 participants
Appropriateness of the measures for containment I

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category ‘appropriate’

- **Cancellation of events**
  - Mar 24, 2020: 97%
  - Mar 31, 2020: 97%
  - Apr 7, 2020: 96%
  - Apr 14, 2020: 96%
  - Apr 21, 2020: 96%
  - Apr 28, 2020: 92%
  - May 5, 2020: 88%
  - May 12, 2020: 89%
  - May 19, 2020: 86%
  - May 26, 2020: 87%
  - Jun 2, 2020: 85%
  - Jun 9, 2020: 83%
  - Jun 16, 2020: 85%
  - Jun 23, 2020: 88%
  - Jun 30, 2020: 89%

- **Closure of cultural institutions**
  - Mar 24, 2020: 97%
  - Mar 31, 2020: 96%
  - Apr 7, 2020: 93%
  - Apr 14, 2020: 92%
  - Apr 21, 2020: 90%
  - Apr 28, 2020: 85%
  - May 5, 2020: 80%
  - May 12, 2020: 77%
  - May 19, 2020: 79%
  - May 26, 2020: 78%
  - Jun 2, 2020: 80%
  - Jun 9, 2020: 83%
  - Jun 16, 2020: 86%
  - Jun 23, 2020: 88%
  - Jun 30, 2020: 84%

- **Quarantine measures**
  - Mar 24, 2020: 97%
  - Mar 31, 2020: 96%
  - Apr 7, 2020: 93%
  - Apr 14, 2020: 92%
  - Apr 21, 2020: 90%
  - Apr 28, 2020: 85%
  - May 5, 2020: 80%
  - May 12, 2020: 77%
  - May 19, 2020: 79%
  - May 26, 2020: 78%
  - Jun 2, 2020: 80%
  - Jun 9, 2020: 83%
  - Jun 16, 2020: 86%
  - Jun 23, 2020: 88%
  - Jun 30, 2020: 84%

- **Closure of day-care centres and schools**
  - Mar 24, 2020: 94%
  - Mar 31, 2020: 93%
  - Apr 7, 2020: 93%
  - Apr 14, 2020: 93%
  - Apr 21, 2020: 91%
  - Apr 28, 2020: 88%
  - May 5, 2020: 89%
  - May 12, 2020: 91%
  - May 19, 2020: 93%
  - May 26, 2020: 96%
  - Jun 2, 2020: 92%
  - Jun 9, 2020: 92%
  - Jun 16, 2020: 90%

- **Contact restriction**
  - Mar 24, 2020: 92%
  - Mar 31, 2020: 88%
  - Apr 7, 2020: 90%
  - Apr 14, 2020: 90%
  - Apr 21, 2020: 90%
  - Apr 28, 2020: 90%
  - May 5, 2020: 90%
  - May 12, 2020: 90%
  - May 19, 2020: 90%
  - May 26, 2020: 90%
  - Jun 2, 2020: 88%
  - Jun 9, 2020: 90%
  - Jun 16, 2020: 90%

- **Mandatory distance**
  - Mar 24, 2020: 86%
  - Mar 31, 2020: 86%
  - Apr 7, 2020: 86%
  - Apr 14, 2020: 86%
  - Apr 21, 2020: 86%
  - Apr 28, 2020: 86%
  - May 5, 2020: 86%
  - May 12, 2020: 86%
  - May 19, 2020: 86%
  - May 26, 2020: 86%
  - Jun 2, 2020: 86%
  - Jun 9, 2020: 86%
  - Jun 16, 2020: 86%

* Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (** three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time

b Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May: 478–512 participants
Basis from Jun: 930–1.031 participants
Appropriateness of the measures for containment II

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category ‘appropriate’

- **mandatory use of masks**
- **closure of shops**
- **regulation on working from home**
- **national vaccination strategy**
- **closure of gastronomic establishments**

---

* Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (** three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

*a* Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time

*b* Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

---

Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May: 478–512 participants
Basis from Jun: 930–1.031 participants
Protective measures of the participants I
Which of the following measures have you taken to protect yourself or your family from the novel coronavirus? (multiple selection)

- use covers for mouth and nose
- keep more distance to other people
- comply with government regulations
- wash hands more thoroughly
- ventilate closed rooms more frequently
- meet friends or family less frequently

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 997–1.037 participants

Part of the questionnaire since June 2020; survey on two (** three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

* Measure was added to the questionnaire at the time indicated
Protective measures of the participants II

Which of the following measures have you taken to protect yourself or your family from the novel coronavirus? (multiple selection)

- leave home less frequently
- use disinfectant more frequently
- use Corona-Warn-App
- build up larger stocks
- have food delivered more frequently
- other
- I have taken no measures

Part of the questionnaire since June 2020; survey on two (** three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

* Measure was added to the questionnaire at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 997–1.037 participants
Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 ‘not sure at all’ to 5 ‘very sure’)

* Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (** three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated
Perceived probability of infection by transmission pathways

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?

(Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – Shown: response category ‘(very) high’ (values 4 + 5)

- Proximity to other people
- Door handles
- Cash
- Toys
- Dishes and cutlery
- Clothing
- Food
- Pets

* Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (** three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated
Age groups
Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life? (Response scale: 1 ‘not concerned at all’ to 5 ‘very concerned’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) concerned’ (values 4 + 5)

- **Social relationships**:
  - 14 to 39 years: 36
  - 40 to 59 years: 36
  - 60 years and over: 21

- **Mental health**:
  - 14 to 39 years: 31
  - 40 to 59 years: 16
  - 60 years and over: 18

- **Physical health**:
  - 14 to 39 years: 20
  - 40 to 59 years: 23
  - 60 years and over: 20

- **Economic situation**:
  - 14 to 39 years: 31
  - 40 to 59 years: 21
  - 60 years and over: 10

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 305–346 participants in corresponding age group
Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>(very) bad</th>
<th>medium</th>
<th>(very) good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 to 39 years</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 59 years</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 years and over</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 309–346 participants in corresponding age group
Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘downplaying’, ‘appropriate’, ‘exaggerated’)

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 306–345 participants in corresponding age group
Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: ‘daily’, ‘several times a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘never’) – Shown: response category ‘daily’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>14 to 39 years</th>
<th>40 to 59 years</th>
<th>60 years and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>television</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radio</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>personal contacts</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>internet (excl. social media)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>print media</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social media</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 307–346 participants in corresponding age group
## Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus? (Response options: ‘not appropriate’, ‘appropriate’) – **Shown: response category ‘appropriate’**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>14 to 39 years</th>
<th>40 to 59 years</th>
<th>60 years and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mandatory distance</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mandatory use of masks</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quarantine measures</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regulation on working from home</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cancellation of events</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>closure of cultural institutions</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national vaccination strategy</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contact restriction</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>closure of day-care centres and schools</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>closure of gastronomic establishments</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>closure of shops</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 274–346 participants in corresponding age group
### Protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken to protect yourself or your family from the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>14 to 39 years</th>
<th>40 to 59 years</th>
<th>60 years and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>use covers for mouth and nose</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comply with government regulations</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>keep more distance to other people</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meet friends or family less frequently</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wash hands more thoroughly</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leave home less frequently</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ventilate closed rooms more frequently</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>use disinfectant more frequently</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>use Corona-Warn-App</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>build up larger stocks</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>have food delivered more frequently</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have taken no measures</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 310–346 participants in corresponding age group
### Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?

*(Response scale: 1 ‘not sure at all’ to 5 ‘very sure’)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Not Sure (at all)</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Very Sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 to 39 years</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 59 years</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 years and over</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Perceived probability of infection by transmission pathways

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?

(Response scale: 1 ‘very low’ to 5 ‘very high’) – Shown: response category ‘(very) high’ (values 4 + 5)

- Proximity to other people: 70, 72, 53
- Door handles: 51, 40, 44
- Cash: 27, 24, 26
- Toys: 27, 24, 23
- Dishes and cutlery: 23, 17, 14
- Food: 17, 10, 13
- Pets: 6, 5, 12
- Clothing: 4, 5, 6

Figures given in percentages
Basis: 266–346 participants in corresponding age group
## Dates and sample sizes of the survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey phase 1</th>
<th>Survey phase 2</th>
<th>Survey phase 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 March 2020</td>
<td>09–10 June 2020</td>
<td>27–28 October 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510 participants</td>
<td>1.015 participants</td>
<td>1.006 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 March 2020</td>
<td>23–24 June 2020</td>
<td>10–11 November 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 participants</td>
<td>1.037 participants</td>
<td>1.009 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 April 2020</td>
<td>07–08 July 2020</td>
<td>24–25 November 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510 participants</td>
<td>1.011 participants</td>
<td>1.018 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 April 2020</td>
<td>21–22 July 2020</td>
<td>08–09 December 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515 participants</td>
<td>1.037 participants</td>
<td>1.004 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 April 2020</td>
<td>04–06 August 2020</td>
<td>17–18 December 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505 participants</td>
<td>1.024 participants</td>
<td>1.010 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 April 2020</td>
<td>18–19 August 2020</td>
<td>05–06 January 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503 participants</td>
<td>1.033 participants</td>
<td>1.017 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 May 2020</td>
<td>01–02 September 2020</td>
<td>19–20 January 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504 participants</td>
<td>1.013 participants</td>
<td>1.018 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 May 2020</td>
<td>15–16 September 2020</td>
<td>02–03 February 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510 participants</td>
<td>1.026 participants</td>
<td>1.004 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 May 2020</td>
<td>29–30 September 2020</td>
<td>16–17 February 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509 participants</td>
<td>1.012 participants</td>
<td>997 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 May 2020</td>
<td>13–14 October 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510 participants</td>
<td>1.015 participants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How were the data collected?

**Statistical population:** German-speaking population ages 14 years and over in private households in the Federal Republic of Germany

**Sampling:** Samples drawn at random from land line and mobile telephone numbers which can also include telephone numbers not listed in directories (in line with standards set by the Association of German Market Research Institutes – ADM)

**Data weighting:** Data was weighted according to gender, education, age, employment, size of city and German federal state to guarantee representativeness

**Method:** Telephone interview (CATI omnibus survey, Dual Frame)

**Presentation of results:** All figures given in percentages, rounding differences are possible, only valid responses were included (response option ‘don’t know’ was excluded from all analyses)

**Conducted by:** Kantar GmbH
About the BfR

Do nanoparticles promote the occurrence of allergies? Does apple juice contain too much aluminium? The German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, or BfR for short, is responsible for answering questions on all aspects of the health assessment of foods and feeds, consumer products and chemicals. Through its work, it makes a decisive contribution towards ensuring that food, products and the use of chemicals have become safer in Germany.

The Institute’s main tasks comprise the assessment of existing health risks and identification of new ones, the development of recommendations to limit risks and the transparent communication of this process. This work results in the scientific advice given to political decision makers. To help with the strategic alignment of its risk communication, the BfR conducts its own research in the field of risk perception. The Institute is independent in its scientific assessments, research and communication. The BfR belongs to the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL).

More information at: www.bfr.bund.de/en
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