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PetCo working group background, role and mandate
PetCo working group

- First meeting in March 2015 (PETCO1) with Member States only

- Since then 6 other meetings (3 per year) with both Member States and accredited stakeholders organisations
  - Concawe, CEFIC Hydrocarbon Solvent Group (HSCS), CEFIC Coal Chemicals Sector Group (CCSG), AECM (Candle makers association) and Lower Olefins and Aromatics (LOA)/ Higher Olefins & Poly Alpha Olefins (HOPA)
Mandate of PETCO WG

• **Initial aim** was to develop by the end of 2015 an approach to identify and address PetCo substances and plan the practical implementation of this approach as required by the SVHC Roadmap ([link to SVHC Roadmap information:](https://echa.europa.eu/addressing-chemicals-of-concern/substances-of-potential-concern/svhc-roadmap-to-2020-implementation)).

• The approach has been finalised this year

  • **Approach on how to prioritise and address petroleum and coal stream UVCB substances for further work under the Roadmap for SVHC identification and implementation of REACH Risk Management Measures** ([https://echa.europa.eu/petco-working-group](https://echa.europa.eu/petco-working-group))
Mandate of PETCO WG

Updated mandate

• PetCo working group is a platform for exchange to ensure that the work on PetCo substances is moved forward using the developed approach as a basis and progress are made in improving the registration dossiers and further regulating PetCo substances where necessary

• The group will:
  • Apply the PetCo approach to all PetCo substances and identify substances for which further regulatory action is needed being generation of data or regulatory risk management
  • Follow up activities planned related to specific substances and specific concerns
  • Discuss and share experiences among MSCAs/ECHA/COM and stakeholders on identification, assessment and management of PetCo substances;
  • Enhance co-operation and share of the work on (groups of) substances and to avoid double work;
  • Interact with other relevant groups (e.g. RIME, PBT expert group) where needed

• One objective is to have updated registration information
Approach on how to prioritise and address UVCB PetCo substances
Prioritisation based on uses (widespread uses)

At least one professional, consumer use or article service life

Intermediate uses and fuel uses excluded from regulatory action
Prioritisation based on hazard

HH/ENV prioritisation based on constituents/blocks of concern (use of experimental data and QSARs)
Focus on CMR/PBT properties
Decision on the best way forward

Much discussion still needed among authorities on how to best regulate those substances
Achievements so far
Main achievements

• Agreement on a way to prioritise PetCo substances for further action (Approach paper)
• Updated information on uses, in particular non-fuel uses, and tonnages going to each use for petroleum substances (Concawe) and hydrocarbon solvents
  • More information is to come from other organisations
  • All information will be reflected in update of registration dossiers
• An inventory of all substances falling under the approach is available and industry has committed itself to keeping the inventory up-to-date (PetCo inventory)
  • Substances supported by consortia
  • Orphan substances
Achievements so far

- **Implementation plans** identifying actions have been submitted by the different organisations (and agreement to publish those on ECHA website)
- **Prioritisation of substances based on hazard** finalised for petroleum substances (ENV/HH) – to be seen how this can be applied to other substances
  - Based on a library of constituents together with analytical data
  - Still some questions on the ENV side
Prioritisation overview HH

Constituent library

Hazard data
Harmonised classification
IARC
Literature (in vivo/in vitro studies)
QSAR predictions (DEREK)

Application of weighing factors for the different types of hazard data

Assignment of hazard score to HC blocks

GCxGC priority list:
$$\sum (\text{Block score}) \times (\text{Concentration of the HB}) = \text{Priority score of the substance}$$
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Priority score for all substances with available GCxGC data (n=73)

- Substances of priority based on uses with GCxGC data (n=22)
- Substances of no consumer and professional uses with GCxGC data (n=51); these substances might have industrial uses

- Unconditional Carc. 1B classification in Annex VI
- Unconditional Carc. 1A classification in Annex VI

- Heavy fuel oils (HFO)
- Cracked GO
- Distillate aromatic extract (DAE)
- Unrefined / Acid Treated Oils (UATO)
Priority score for all substances with available PAC data (n=135)

- Substances of priority based on uses with PAC data (n=43)
- Substances of no priority based on uses with PAC data (n=92). These substances might have industrial uses below 1.5% or below 0.5%.

- Heavy fuel oils (HFO)
- Cracked GO
- Distillate aromatic extract (DAE)
- Unrefined / Acid Treated Oils (UATO)

Unconditional Carc. 1B classification in Annex VI
Unconditional Carc. 1A classification in Annex VI

echa.europa.eu
Ongoing discussions
Hazard prioritisation and assessment

- Blocks and analytical data are the starting point of the prioritisation but uncertainties around blocks
- Hazard assessment for both HH and ENV and which data to generate, on what. Testing on representative structure of the blocks? Testing on the whole substance?
- How to address the categories
  - Ongoing work on some categories by ECHA
- Assessment by the Netherlands of one block from ENV perspective for discussion at the next PBT EG in May
How to best regulate those substances from a risk management perspective

• Regulating PETCO substances: what does that mean, what do we want to achieve?
  • Clear link to the discussion on hazard assessment, HCB, constituents
  • Regulating the uses of the substances falling under PETCO?
  • Regulating the presence/level of PAHs in mixtures, articles?

• Further discussion needed among authorities – Discussion paper to be brought to a wider audience of Member States/COM
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