





Current week




Concern about the impact of the coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
(Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned')



Perceived level of information


How well do you feel informed about the following aspects of the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not well informed at all' to 5 'very well informed')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 947–973 participants

Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')




Figures given in percentages
Basis: 931 participants

Appropriateness of the measures for containment


How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate')




Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)



Perceived controllability of the risk of infection


How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 967 participants

Behaviour of others

When dealing with other people, how important is it to you that they take measures to prevent a transmission of the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not important at all' to 5 'very important')



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 973 participants

Perceived probability of an infection


How high or low do you estimate the probability of currently being infected with the novel coronavirus at the following locations?

(Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high')




Figures given in percentages
Basis: 908–976 participants

Over time



Concern about the impact of the coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)




Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 962–1.035 participants

Perceived level of information

How well do you feel informed about the following aspects of the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 'not well informed at all' to 5 'very well informed') – **Shown: response category '(very) well informed' (values 4 + 5)**



Part of the questionnaire since February 2022


^a Measure was added to the questionnaire at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages

Basis: 933–1.004 participants

Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



Figures given in percentages


Basis Mar–May 2020: 480–502 participants

Basis from Jun 2020: 931–1.019 participants

Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'



Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants


Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1.031 participants

^a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time

^b Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

Current protective measures of the participants I


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 824–1.016 participants

Current protective measures of the participants II


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 824–1.016 participants

Perceived controllability of the risk of infection


How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May 2020: 492–514 participants
 Basis from Jun 2020: 957–1.017 participants

Behaviour of others


When dealing with other people, how important is it to you that they take measures to prevent a transmission of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'not important at all' to 5 'very important')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 959–1.008 participants


Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of currently being infected with the novel coronavirus at the following locations?
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – **Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)**




Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 898–1.014 participants

Age groups



Concern about the impact of the coronavirus


To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – **Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)**



Perceived level of information

How well do you feel informed about the following aspects of the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 'not well informed at all' to 5 'very well informed') – **Shown: response category '(very) well' (values 4 + 5)**




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 291–337 participants in corresponding age group

Evaluation of media coverage


How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 298–335 participants in corresponding age group

Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 263–287 participants in corresponding age group

Perceived controllability of the risk of infection


How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 304–339 participants in corresponding age group

Behaviour of others

When dealing with other people, how important is it to you that they take measures to prevent a transmission of the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not important at all' to 5 'very important')




Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 304–339 participants in corresponding age group

Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of currently being infected with the novel coronavirus at the following locations?

(Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – **Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)**



Figures given in percentages

Basis: 246–339 participants in corresponding age group

Dates and sample sizes of the survey

24 Mar	510 participants	27–28 Oct	1.006 participants	03–04 Aug	1.007 participants	10–12 May	998 participants
31 Mar	500 participants	10–11 Nov	1.009 participants	17–18 Aug	1.010 participants	24–25 May	1.005 participants
07 Apr	510 participants	24–25 Nov	1.018 participants	31 Aug – 01 Sep	1.004 participants	07–08 Jun	1.002 participants
14 Apr	515 participants	08–09 Dec	1.004 participants	14–15 Sep	1.007 participants	05–06 Jul	1.010 participants
21 Apr	505 participants	17–18 Dec	1.010 participants	28–29 Sep	1.022 participants	02–03 Aug	998 participants
28 Apr	503 participants	05–06 Jan	1.017 participants	12–13 Oct	1.002 participants	06–07 Sep	998 participants
05 May	504 participants	19–20 Jan	1.018 participants	26–27 Oct	1.003 participants	04–05 Oct	999 participants
12 May	510 participants	02–03 Feb	1.004 participants	09–10 Nov	1.003 participants	02–03 Nov	991 participants
19 May	509 participants	16–17 Feb	997 participants	23–24 Nov	1.007 participants	06–07 Dec	1.000 participants
26 May	510 participants	02–03 Mar	1.014 participants	07–08 Dec	995 participants	03–05 Jan	978 participants
09–10 Jun	1.015 participants	16–17 Mar	1.012 participants	21–22 Dec	1.005 participants	07–08 Feb	985 participants
23–24 Jun	1.037 participants	30–31 Mar	1.008 participants	04–05 Jan	1.001 participants	07–08 Mar	984 participants
07–08 Jul	1.011 participants	13–14 Apr	1.007 participants	18–19 Jan	1.011 participants	04–05 Apr	985 participants
21–22 Jul	1.037 participants	27–28 Apr	1.024 participants	01–02 Feb	1.014 participants		
04–06 Aug	1.024 participants	11–12 May	1.023 participants	15–16 Feb	1.005 participants		
18–19 Aug	1.033 participants	25–26 May	1.006 participants	01–02 Mar	1.002 participants		
01–02 Sep	1.013 participants	08–09 Jun	1.010 participants	15–16 Mar	1.001 participants		
15–16 Sep	1.026 participants	22–23 Jun	1.005 participants	29–30 Mar	1.003 participants		
29–30 Sep	1.012 participants	06–08 Jul	1.002 participants	12–13 Apr	1.003 participants		
13–14 Oct	1.015 participants	20–21 Jul	1.012 participants	26–27 Apr	1.004 participants		

How were the data collected?

Statistical population:	German-speaking population ages 14 years and over in private households in the Federal Republic of Germany
Sampling:	Samples drawn at random from land line and mobile telephone numbers which can also include telephone numbers not listed in directories (in line with standards set by the Association of German Market Research Institutes – ADM)
Data weighting:	Data was weighted according to gender, education, age, employment, size of city and German federal state to guarantee representativeness
Method:	Telephone interview (CATI omnibus survey, Dual Frame)
Presentation of results:	All figures given in percentages, rounding differences are possible, only valid responses were included (response option ‘don’t know’ was excluded from all analyses)
Conducted by:	Kantar GmbH

About the BfR

The German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, or BfR for short, is responsible for answering questions on all aspects of the health assessment of foods and feeds, consumer products and chemicals. Through its work, it makes a decisive contribution towards ensuring that food, products and the use of chemicals have become safer in Germany. The Institute's main tasks comprise the assessment of existing health risks and identification of new ones, the development of recommendations to limit risks and the transparent communication of this process.

This work results in the scientific advice given to political decision makers. To help with the strategic alignment of its risk communication, the BfR conducts its own research in the field of risk perception. The Institute is independent in its scientific assessments, research and communication. The BfR belongs to the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL).

 *More information at: www.bfr.bund.de/en*

COVID-19/coronavirus:

> [A-Z Index](#) > [C](#) > [COVID-19/corona](#)

Imprint

BfR-Corona-Monitor | 4–5 April 2023

Publisher: German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)
Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10
10589 Berlin
bfr@bfr.bund.de
www.bfr.bund.de/en

Photo: [mathisworks/GettyImages](#)

Use of the results published here is possible with mention of the source
'BfR-Corona-Monitor | 4–5 April 2023'.

This text version is a translation of the original German text, which is the only legally binding version.

German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)

Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10

10589 Berlin

GERMANY

Phone +49 30 18412-0

Fax +49 30 18412-99099

bfr@bfr.bund.de

www.bfr.bund.de/en



German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment