




# Current week



# Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus


To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?  
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned')



# Perceived informedness about what is happening


How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')




# Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?  
(Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



# Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?  
 (Response options: 'daily', 'several times a week', 'once a week', 'less than once a week', 'never')




Figures given in percentages  
 Basis: 1.000–1.004 participants

# Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate')




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 962–1.001 participants

## Current protective measures of the participants


Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?  
(multiple selection)






# Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?  
(Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



# Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?  
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high')




Figures given in percentages  
 Basis: 910–985 participants

# Over time



# Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?  
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)




Figures given in percentages  
 Basis: 977–1.035 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 9 June 2020; survey on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

# Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?  
 (Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')



Figures given in percentages


Basis Mar–May 2020: 499–510 participants

Basis from Jun 2020: 979–1.032 participants

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

# Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?  
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May 2020: 480–502 participants


Basis from Jun 2020: 952–1.019 participants

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

# Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'daily', 'several times a week', 'once a week', 'less than once a week', 'never') – **Shown: response category 'daily'**




Figures given in percentages  
Basis: 983–1.024 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 27 October 2020; survey on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

# Appropriateness of the measures for containment I

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?  
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'




Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated  
<sup>a</sup> Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time  
<sup>b</sup> Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages  
 Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants  
 Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1.031 participants



# Appropriateness of the measures for containment II

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?  
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'



Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

<sup>a</sup> Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time

<sup>b</sup> Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated


Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May 2020: 474–512 participants

Basis from Jun 2020: 916–1.031 participants

# Current protective measures of the participants I

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?  
(multiple selection)




Figures given in percentages  
Basis: 960–1.016 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 30 March 2021; survey on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

# Current protective measures of the participants II

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?  
(multiple selection)




Figures given in percentages  
Basis: 960–1.016 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 30 March 2021; survey on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

# Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?  
 (Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May 2020: 492–514 participants


Basis from Jun 2020: 971–1.017 participants

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

# Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?

(Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)



Figures given in percentages  
 Basis Mar–May 2020: 470–511 participants  
 Basis from Jun 2020: 895–1.030 participants


Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (\* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

# Age groups




# Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?  
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)



# Perceived informedness about what is happening


How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?  
 (Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')





# Evaluation of media coverage


How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?  
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



# Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'daily', 'several times a week', 'once a week', 'less than once a week', 'never') – **Shown: response category 'daily'**




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 316–344 participants in corresponding age group

# Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 300–343 participants in corresponding age group

# Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?  
(multiple selection)




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 314–344 participants in corresponding age group


# Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?  
 (Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



# Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?  
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – **Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)**



Figures given in percentages  
 Basis: 252–344 participants in corresponding age group

## Dates and sample sizes of the survey

<b>24 Mar</b>	510 participants	<b>2020</b>	<b>18–19 Aug</b>	1.033 participants	<b>2020</b>	<b>16–17 Mar</b>	1.012 participants	<b>2021</b>	<b>12–13 Oct</b>	1.002 participants
<b>31 Mar</b>	500 participants		<b>01–02 Sep</b>	1.013 participants		<b>30–31 Mar</b>	1.008 participants		<b>26–27 Oct</b>	1.003 participants
<b>07 Apr</b>	510 participants		<b>15–16 Sep</b>	1.026 participants		<b>13–14 Apr</b>	1.007 participants		<b>09–10 Nov</b>	1.003 participants
<b>14 Apr</b>	515 participants		<b>29–30 Sep</b>	1.012 participants		<b>27–28 Apr</b>	1.024 participants		<b>23–24 Nov</b>	1.007 participants
<b>21 Apr</b>	505 participants		<b>13–14 Oct</b>	1.015 participants		<b>11–12 May</b>	1.023 participants		<b>07–08 Dec</b>	995 participants
<b>28 Apr</b>	503 participants		<b>27–28 Oct</b>	1.006 participants		<b>25–26 May</b>	1.006 participants		<b>21–22 Dec</b>	1.005 participants
<b>05 May</b>	504 participants		<b>10–11 Nov</b>	1.009 participants		<b>08–09 Jun</b>	1.010 participants			
<b>12 May</b>	510 participants		<b>24–25 Nov</b>	1.018 participants		<b>22–23 Jun</b>	1.005 participants			
<b>19 May</b>	509 participants		<b>08–09 Dec</b>	1.004 participants		<b>06–08 Jul</b>	1.002 participants			
<b>26 May</b>	510 participants		<b>17–18 Dec</b>	1.010 participants		<b>20–21 Jul</b>	1.012 participants			
<b>09–10 Jun</b>	1.015 participants	<b>2021</b>	<b>05–06 Jan</b>	1.017 participants	<b>03–04 Aug</b>	1.007 participants				
<b>23–24 Jun</b>	1.037 participants		<b>19–20 Jan</b>	1.018 participants	<b>17–18 Aug</b>	1.010 participants				
<b>07–08 Jul</b>	1.011 participants		<b>02–03 Feb</b>	1.004 participants	<b>31 Aug – 01 Sep</b>	1.004 participants				
<b>21–22 Jul</b>	1.037 participants		<b>16–17 Feb</b>	997 participants	<b>14–15 Sep</b>	1.007 participants				
<b>04–06 Aug</b>	1.024 participants		<b>02–03 Mar</b>	1.014 participants	<b>28–29 Sep</b>	1.022 participants				

## How were the data collected?

<b>Statistical population:</b>	German-speaking population ages 14 years and over in private households in the Federal Republic of Germany
<b>Sampling:</b>	Samples drawn at random from land line and mobile telephone numbers which can also include telephone numbers not listed in directories (in line with standards set by the Association of German Market Research Institutes – ADM)
<b>Data weighting:</b>	Data was weighted according to gender, education, age, employment, size of city and German federal state to guarantee representativeness
<b>Method:</b>	Telephone interview (CATI omnibus survey, Dual Frame)
<b>Presentation of results:</b>	All figures given in percentages, rounding differences are possible, only valid responses were included (response option ‘don’t know’ was excluded from all analyses)
<b>Conducted by:</b>	Kantar GmbH



## About the BfR

Do nanoparticles promote the occurrence of allergies? Does apple juice contain too much aluminium? The German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, or BfR for short, is responsible for answering questions on all aspects of the health assessment of foods and feeds, consumer products and chemicals. Through its work, it makes a decisive contribution towards ensuring that food, products and the use of chemicals have become safer in Germany.

The Institute's main tasks comprise the assessment of existing health risks and identification of new ones, the development of recommendations to limit risks and the transparent communication of this process. This work results in the scientific advice given to political decision makers. To help with the strategic alignment of its risk communication, the BfR conducts its own research in the field of risk perception. The Institute is independent in its scientific assessments, research and communication. The BfR belongs to the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL).

 *More information at: [www.bfr.bund.de/en](http://www.bfr.bund.de/en)*

*COVID-19/coronavirus:*

*> [A-Z Index](#) > [C](#) > [COVID-19/corona](#)*

## **Imprint**

BfR-Corona-Monitor | 21–22 December 2021

Publisher: German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)  
Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10  
10589 Berlin  
[bfr@bfr.bund.de](mailto:bfr@bfr.bund.de)  
[www.bfr.bund.de/en](http://www.bfr.bund.de/en)

Photo: [mathisworks/GettyImages](#)

Use of the results published here is possible with mention of the source  
'BfR-Corona-Monitor | 21–22 December 2021'.

This text version is a translation of the original German text, which is the only legally binding version.

**German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment**

Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10

10589 Berlin

GERMANY

Phone +49 30 18412-0

Fax +49 30 18412-99099

[bfr@bfr.bund.de](mailto:bfr@bfr.bund.de)

[www.bfr.bund.de/en](http://www.bfr.bund.de/en)



**Bundesinstitut für Risikobewertung**