

# Suitability of two gelatin block sizes as ballistic simulant for hunting bullets tested with 2,900 J

15.03.2024, BfR

#### **Annett Martin**

Unit Epidemiology, Statistics and Exposure Modelling Department Exposure



#### Overview

- 1. Experimental setup for shooting
- 2. Preliminary work for the measurement of crack lengths
- 3. Results of crack length measurement
- 4. Considerations of the physical effectiveness [J/cm] of the bullet

### Aims

• Testing the load capacity of the two gelatin block sizes.

Frequently used hunting bullet

Higher number of gelatin blocks

- Application of the modified method for crack length measurement based on photo should be further investigated and statistically validated by a larger sample size.
- Investigation of the influence of gelatin block size on the energy release profiles and their reproducibility.



#### Hypotheses

When testing two gelatin block sizes by firing a high-energy hunting bullet, it is expected that

a) the small block size will not withstand the high energy release of the bullet and that the block will rupture

b) the large block size will withstand the high energy release of the bullet.



# Experimental setup for bombardments



### **Experimental conditions**

#### Part II

- RWS Evolution 11.9 g, Caliber 30-06 (leaded deformation bullet)
- Target (Bullet) Energy: 2,900 J
- Bullet target (impact) velocity: 700 m/s ( $\pm$  10 m/s tolerance level)
- Shooting distance of 15 m (Simulated approx. 100 m)
- Infrared precision light barrier recorded the impact velocity of the bullet (1.0 m in front of the front of the block)
- Two blocks were always positioned one behind the other



#### Part I

- RWS 338 Lap. Mag. SPEED TIP PRO 16,2
- >5,000 J
- 800 m/s

One gelatin block per shot



#### **Test simulant**

12 x 2\* gelatin blocks (20 % gelatin) in the following dimensions:

- 6 small blocks\*: 35 x 15 x 15 cm (length x height x width)
- 6 large blocks\*: 40 x 25 x 25 cm (length x height x width)

\*For each shot on 6 small blocks and 6 large blocks, two blocks were always positioned one behind the other.



Preliminary work for the measurement of crack lengths



### Crack lengths analyzer and crack length method used



#### Input template and calculation of the crack length (photo-based crack length

#### measurement-large block size)



$$L_{Total.i} = \frac{1}{2} * (S_{i.front} + S_{i.back})$$

Abbreviations L Crack length [mm] S Slice i Slice number j single crack number S<sub>i.front</sub> Crack length sum (slice i front) S<sub>i.back</sub> Crack length sum (slice i back)

 $L_{Total} = \sum_{i} L_{Total.i}$ 



10 Annett Martin/ Ellen Ulbig/ Ingo Rottenberger | Symposium "Wild - prepared?" | 14. - 15.03.2024 | Berlin (BfR)

Second gelatin

#### **Statistical analyses**

Generalized additive models (GAMs)

1. Association between the used crack length measurement tool and crack lengths (for six shots)

 $L_{Total.i} = \beta_0 + s(penetration depth, bs = "cr", k=5) + s(analyzer, bs = re) + measurementTool + \varepsilon$ 

Gaussian family

#### 2. Association between the crack length analyzer and the number of cracks (for six shots)

Number of cracks =  $\beta_0 + s$  (penetration depth, bs = cr) + crack length analyzer +  $\varepsilon$ 

Negative binomial family

- L<sub>Total.i</sub> is crack length of slice i as response variable
- $\beta_0$  is the intercept term, it denotes the overall mean of the response
- s(penetration depth) is a smoother that accounts for the path of the bullet through the block
- k denotes the degree of smoothing
- s(analyzer) is a random effect smoother for the nine crack length analyzers
- "Measurement tool" is a categorical term with reference category "Beschussamt Ulm" (Measurement directly after shooting) and two measurement tools (AdobeReader DC, ImageJ, Photo printout) at a later time and location-independent
- Crack alalyzer is a categorial term with the reference category "Beschussamt Ulm"
- $\varepsilon$  is a Gaussian error term (the unexplained variation)



# Results of crack length measurement



#### Shot 1 Shot 2 70 60 $L_{Total} = 4.4 \text{ m}$ $L_{Total} = 3.9 \text{ m}$ 50 (mean of 9 crack lenght 40 analyzers) 30 Range (L<sub>Total</sub>) → BA Ulm (Ref.) → Direct Range (L<sub>Total</sub>) 20 4.1 - 4.7 mGermany 1 3.7 – 4.1 m 10 Germany 2 AdobeReader Shot 6 70 Shot 7 Germany 3 DC 60 Germany 4 Lotal [cm] <sup>50</sup> <sup>40</sup> <sup>30</sup> <sup>20</sup> $L_{Total} = 3.8 \text{ m}$ $L_{Total} = 4.4 \text{ m}$ - Germany 5 → Photo printout ----- USA 1 Range (L<sub>Total</sub>) Range (L<sub>Total</sub>) 3.6 - 3.9 m $4.1 - 4.6 \,\mathrm{m}$ 10 ---- USA 3 ImageJ Shot 8 70 Shot 12 60 ----- USA 5 50 $L_{Total} = 4.0 \, {\rm m}$ $L_{Total} = 3.8 \text{ m}$ 40 30 Range (L<sub>Total</sub>) Range (L<sub>Total</sub>) 20 3.8 – 4.1 m 10 3.7 – 4.0 m 7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 20.0 22.5 25.0 27.5 30.0 32.5 35.0 37.5 40.0 42.5 45.0 47.5 50.0 0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 20.0 22.5 25.0 27.5 30.0 32.5 35.0 37.5 40.0 42.5 45.0 47.5 50.0 Penetration depth [cm]

#### Course of the crack lengths measured with different methods

No significant differences between the reference method (blue line) and the three crack lengths methods on photo basis (p>0.05).



### Course of crack lengths of shot 6 compared to shot 1 Prediction model





# Effects of the omission of crack lengths on the back ( $S_{i,back}$ ) of each slice on the total crack length ( $L_{Total}$ )



Black error bars representing the standard deviations of the means (black dot), red dots represent the median, blue dots (jittered) represent raw data of individual crack length analyzers, shape of violin plots represent the density distribution.

> \*Paired Wilcoxon exact-rank test from R package "exactRankTests"

# Omitting the crack lengths on the back side of each slice had no significant\* effect on the total crack length (for all 6 shots on large gelatin blocks).



### Evaluation of the mean number of cracks depending on crack length analyzer



\*\*\*p<0.001 (gam. family: Negativ Binomial, Reference Ulm)

Number of cracks =  $\beta_0 + s$ (penetration depth, bs = cr) + crack length analyzer +  $\varepsilon$ 



**F** BfR

Considerations of the physical effectiveness [J/cm] of the bullet



# Calculation of the total energy release ( $E_{rel,Total}$ ) of the bullet in the gelatin block (20 %) – TR Patrone 9 mm x 19







### Effectiveness [J/cm] of the bullet from six shots on large gelatin blocks



# Maximum Effectiveness [J/cm] of the bullet from six shots on large gelatin blocks





#### Summary

Small block size 35 x 15 x 15 cm (length x height x width)

- Result of the small block is a single event (one of six) random result.
- Smaller block size might not suitable for bullet testing.

In order to obtain reproducible results, a large number of small gelatin blocks would have to be shot.

Associated with high effort and cost.



#### Summary

#### Large block size: 40 x 25 x 25 cm (length x height x width)

- Cracks do not go to the outside of the blocks at an energy of approx. 2,900 J.
- Photos of slices with the cracks were well suited for measuring cracks.

  - → by marking the front and back sides with different colors
- An immediate evaluation does not yield significantly different results than the later evaluations on the computer.
- Crack lengths provide almost identical results with different measurement tools.
- Measurement of the crack lengths on the backs of the slices can be omitted (No general statement depends on velocity and bullet).
- It is not necessary to measure very small cracks (<4 mm). These cracks do not affect the total crack length of the blocks.
- By placing two gelatin blocks next to each other, the energy release curve ("effectiveness") could be calculated along the penetration depth.



#### Conclusion

- The results from the first part of the study could be confirmed on the basis of a larger number of bombardments.
- Physical effectiveness of a bullet could be simulated in the gelatin test medium.

#### Acknowledgement





für Jagd- und Sportwaffen e.V.

Ulm Beschussamt Ulm



Deutsche Versuchs- und Prüf-Anstalt

Deutscher Jagdverband

**OMI** - Mellrichstadt



**RWS GmbH Ignition** Technology



Sporting Arms and Ammunition Manufacturers' Institute







#### Annett Martin T +49 30 18412-0 Annett.martin@bfr.bund.de

German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment bfr.bund.de/en



valid for texts produced by the BfR images/photos/graphics are excluded unless otherwise indicated

#### **BfR** | Identifying Risks – Protecting Health

Consumer health protection to go
BfR2GO – the BfR Science Magazine

bfr.bund.de/en/science\_magazine\_bfr2go.html

#### Follow us

- @bfrde | @bfren | @Bf3R\_centre
- Ø @bfrde
- youtube.com/@bfr\_bund
- social.bund.de/@bfr
- in linkedin.com/company/bundesinstitut-f-r-risikobewertung
- soundcloud.com/risikobewertung

## Results of shots on gelatin blocks (Beschussamt Ulm-Reference)

RWS Evolution 11.9 g, Caliber .30-06

Shot	*V <sub>Target</sub>	End position	Number	Total	Kinetic	Energy	Max	Rest	Rest bullet
	[m/s]	of the	of	crack	energy	release	effectiveness	diameter	mass
		remaining	cracks	length	E <sub>Target</sub> [J]	E <sub>rel.Total</sub> [J]	W <sub>i.max</sub> (J/cm)	d <sub>Rest</sub> [mm]	m <sub>rest</sub> [g]
		bullet [cm]		L <sub>Total</sub> [m]					(Loss of
									mass %)
1 (25x25x40 cm)	694.8	45	265	4.4	2872.3	2872.2	161	20.7	11.48 (3.5)
2 (25x25x40 cm)	692.1	45	234	3.8	2850.1	2849.7	150	17.9	11.18 (6.0)
3 (15x15x35 cm)	694.5	45	-	-	2869.9	-	-	17.9	10.72 (9.9)
4 (15x15x35 cm)	698.1	46.5	-	-	2899.7	-	-	18.5	10.70 (10.1)
5 (15x15x35 cm)	695.9	45	-	-	2881.4	-	-	16.8	9.93 (16.5)
6 (25x25x40 cm)	695.2	41	212	3.8	2875.7	2875.4	139	19.5	11.05 (7.1)
7 (25x25x40 cm)	701	44	280	4.4	2923.8	2923.5	156	20.0	10.89 (8.5)
8 (25x25x40 cm)	688	44.5	249	4.0	2816.4	2816.5	153	18.7	11.18 (6.0)
9 (15x15x35 cm)	706	50	-	-	2965.7	-	-	16.1	10.31 (13.4)
10 (15x15x35 cm)	695	51	254	3.7	2874.0	2873.8	158	16.4	10.12 (15.0)
11 (15x15x35 cm)	693	47.5	-	-	2857.5	-	-	17.5	9.9 (16.8)
12 (25x25x40 cm)	693	45	255	4.1	2857.5	2857.6	134	17.2	10.92 (8.2)

10 Small block (the only evaluable block out of a total of 6 blocks)

\*The velocity level of the load with this bullet was 700 m/s (+/- 10 m/s tolerance level).



# Comparison of the effectiveness of shot 10 (small block) with the effectiveness of the large blocks [J/cm]– Prediction model



Result: No significant differences between W<sub>i</sub> of shot10 (Reference) and W<sub>i</sub> of shots on large blocks.

The penetration depth has a significant influence on the effectiveness of the bullet (p<0.01).



#### Percentage deviation of L<sub>Ges.i</sub> from reference values (Beschussamt Ulm)





## Mass lost of the bullet [%] depending on target velocity V<sub>Target</sub> [m/s]

Bullet mass: 11.9 g



The mass loss of the bullets is higher when shooting at the small blocks at approximately the same target velocity.



### Effectiveness maximum [J/cm] depending on the penetration depth for W<sub>imax</sub>



The largest variations between shots on six large gelatin blocks occur in the area of maximum effectiveness, i.e. after 10 to 15 cm penetration depth of the bullet.

Shot	1	2	6	7	8	12
V <sub>Target</sub> [m/s]	695	692	695	701	688	693
W <sub>max</sub> [J/cm] - BA Ulm	161	150	139	156	153	134



# Comparison of photo-based crack length measurement tools (modified method) with direct measurement (Reference – BA Ulm)



 $L_{Total.i} = \beta_0 + s(penetration depth, bs = "cr", k=5) + s(analyzer, bs = re) + measurementTool + \varepsilon$ 

No significant differences between the reference method (blue line) and the three crack lengths methods on photo basis (p>0.05). gam. family: Gaussian



#### Summary

#### Uncertainties

- Elastic behaviour of the gelatin blocks avoids the formation of measurable cracks
- Due to the extreme inherent movements, especially with the small blocks part of the kinetic energy of the bullet is converted into other forms of energy



### Relationship between crack length (L<sub>Total.i</sub>) and number of cracks per slice



