

## SCIENTIFIC OPINION

### Scientific Opinion on the safety of ‘phosphated distarch phosphate’ as a Novel Food ingredient<sup>1</sup>

EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA)<sup>2,3</sup>

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy

This scientific output, published on 5 October 2010, replaces the earlier version published on 30 September 2010<sup>4</sup>.

#### ABSTRACT

Following a request from the European Commission, the EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA) was asked to carry out the additional assessment for ‘phosphated distarch phosphate’ Novelose<sup>®</sup> 480HA, as a food ingredient in the context of Regulation (EC) No 258/97. The novel ingredient is a chemically modified starch manufactured from high-amylose maize. Starch chains are cross-linked and esterified with phosphate groups to create a digestion-resistant starch (Resistant Starch Type 4). The novel ingredient is proposed for use in low-moisture food products (e.g. bread and bakery products, breakfast cereals, pastas and snacks) at a maximum level of 15 %. The maximum daily intake based on a conservative estimate, was calculated to occur in male teenagers with a mean of 9.0 g/person and 25.3 g/person for the 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile. On a body weight basis, the highest estimated intake is in small children (mean 0.38 and high level 1.09 g/kg bw per day). The toxicological tests described by the applicant to demonstrate the safety of the novel ingredient were carried out using various phosphated starches including varieties of phosphated distarch phosphate that are currently used in Europe as food additive (E1413). They have a similar content of phosphorus but are manufactured from “conventional” maize having starch grains with less than 30 % amylose and 70 % amylopectin. The toxicological tests did not provide reasons for concern. The conservative estimated maximum intake of phosphorus at the 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile of 101 mg/day for male teenagers is low compared with European intakes ranging up to about 2600 mg per day and was considered not of concern. The product specification allows up to 0.8 % protein, assumed to be derived from maize, which is not a common allergenic food. The Panel concludes that the novel ingredient, a phosphated distarch phosphate, is safe at the proposed conditions of use and intake levels.

© European Food Safety Authority, 2010

#### KEY WORDS

<sup>1</sup> On request from the European Commission, Question No EFSA-Q-2010-00127, adopted on 10 September 2010.

<sup>2</sup> Panel members: Carlo Virgilio Agostini, Jean-Louis Bresson, Susan Fairweather-Tait, Albert Flynn, Ines Golly, Marina Heinonen, Hannu Korhonen, Pagona Lagiou, Martinus Løvik, Rosangela Marchelli, Ambroise Martin, Bevan Moseley, Monika Neuhäuser-Berthold, Hildegard Przyrembel, Yolanda Sanz, Seppo Salminen, John (Sean) J Strain, Stephan Strobel, Inge Tetens, Daniel Tome, Henk van den Berg, Hendrik van Loveren and Hans Verhagen.  
Correspondence: [nda@efsa.europa.eu](mailto:nda@efsa.europa.eu)

<sup>3</sup> Acknowledgement: The Panel wishes to thank for the preparatory work on this scientific opinion: The members of the Working Group on Novel Foods: Karl-Heinz Engel, Ines Golly, Marina Heinonen, Pagona Lagiou, Rosangela Marchelli, Bevan Moseley, Monika Neuhäuser-Berthold, Annette Pötting, Seppo Salminen, Hendrik Van Loveren and Hans Verhagen.

<sup>4</sup> After publication of the scientific output, EFSA needed to include a few editorial changes affecting pages 9 and 13 of the current opinion. Where changes have been made to the opinion, footnotes have been included to indicate the original text.

Suggested citation: EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA); Scientific Opinion on the safety of ‘phosphated distarch phosphate’ as a novel food ingredient. EFSA Journal 2010; 8(9):1772. [21 pp.].

doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1772. Available online: [www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal.htm](http://www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal.htm)

Phosphated distarch phosphate, resistant starch, novel food, ingredient.

## SUMMARY

Following a request from the European Commission, the EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA) was asked to deliver a scientific opinion on the safety of 'phosphated distarch phosphate'.

The novel ingredient is a phosphated distarch phosphate manufactured from high-amylose maize starch. Amylose and amylopectin chains are cross-linked and esterified with phosphate groups to create a digestion-resistant starch that is mainly metabolised by bacteria in the large intestine. The applicant's intention is to add the novel ingredient at a maximum level of 15 % to low-moisture food products e.g. bread and bakery products, breakfast cereals, pastas and snacks, in order to increase the dietary fibre content. An *in vitro* method that exposes starches to the action of digestive enzymes indicates that 30 % of the cooked novel ingredient would be digested in the small intestine as against 95 % for unmodified maize starch.

The specification of the novel ingredient requires a minimum of 70 % total dietary fibre and a maximum of 0.4 % phosphorus. There are no concerns regarding contaminants such as heavy metals, mycotoxins, pesticide residues and pathogenic bacteria. The ingredient is reported by the applicant to have a shelf life of 24 months from the date of manufacture.

On the basis of UK data, the applicant has estimated the intakes of the novel ingredient and the phosphorus for several population groups. The maximum mean and high (97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile) daily intakes would be 9.0 and 25.3 g/person respectively (equivalent to 0.17 and 0.53 g/kg body weight) for male teenagers and for phosphorus intake 36.2 and 101 mg/person respectively (0.68 and 2.11 mg/kg bw). On a body weight basis, the highest estimated intake of the novel ingredient is in children aged 1½ to 4½ years (mean 0.38 and high level 1.09 g/kg bw per day).

No data were provided on the genotoxicity of modified starches although evidence was provided that phosphorus containing compounds were not genotoxic.

The toxicological tests described by the applicant to demonstrate the safety of the novel ingredient were carried out using various phosphated starches including varieties of phosphated distarch phosphate that are currently used in Europe as a food additive (E1413). They have a similar content of phosphorus but are manufactured from "conventional" maize having starch grains with less than 30 % amylose and 70 % amylopectin.

The toxicological tests did not show any significant adverse effects even at high dietary levels. There was caecal enlargement in rats at high intakes but no associated histopathological effects and this is considered to be an adaptive effect without toxicological relevance. The conservative estimated maximum intake of phosphorus at the 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile of 101 mg per day for male teenagers is low compared with European intakes ranging up to about 2600 mg per day and is considered not of concern.

With regard to allergenicity the product specification allows up to 0.8 % protein, which is assumed to be derived from maize, which is not a common allergenic food.

The Panel concludes that the novel ingredient, a phosphated distarch phosphate, is safe at the proposed conditions of use and intake levels.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract .....	1
Summary .....	2
Table of contents .....	3
Background as provided by the European Commission .....	4
Terms of reference as provided by the European Commission .....	5
Assessment .....	6
1. Specification of the Novel Food (NF) .....	6
2. Effect of the production process applied to the NF .....	8
3. History of the organism used as the source .....	9
4. Anticipated intake/extent of the use of the NF .....	9
5. Information from previous exposure to the NF or its source .....	11
6. Nutritional information on the NF .....	11
7. Microbiological information on the NF .....	12
8. Toxicological information on the NF .....	12
8.1. Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion (ADME) .....	12
8.2. Genotoxicity .....	13
8.3. Animal studies .....	13
8.3.1. Acute studies .....	13
8.3.2. Short-term studies .....	13
8.3.3. Chronic studies .....	13
8.3.4. Developmental and reproductive studies .....	14
8.3.5. Previous evaluations .....	14
8.4. Human studies .....	15
8.4.1. Studies on PDPs .....	15
8.4.1.1. Effect in diabetic people .....	15
8.4.1.2. Intolerance .....	15
8.4.2. Effect of phosphorus intake .....	15
8.5. Allergenicity .....	16
Discussion .....	16
Conclusions .....	17
Documentation provided to EFSA .....	17
References .....	18
Glossary and abbreviations .....	21

## BACKGROUND AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

On 23 August 2005, the company National Starch Food Innovation submitted a request under Article 4 of the Novel Food Regulation (EC) No 258/97 to place on the market 'phosphated distarch phosphate' as a novel food ingredient.

On 27 April 2009, the competent authorities of the United Kingdom forwarded to the Commission their initial assessment report, which came to conclusion that 'phosphated distarch phosphate' meets the criteria for acceptance of a novel food subject to labelling requirements regarding potential laxative effects in small children.

On 4 May 2009, the Commission forwarded the initial assessment report to the other Member States. Several of the Member States submitted additional comments and objections.

In consequence, a Community Decision is now required under Article 7, paragraph 1 of Regulation (EC) No 258/97.

The concerns of a scientific nature raised by the Member States can be summarized as follows:

- The analytical data and test reports relating to the specification are incomplete. There are no details on the bodies that carried out the tests, or whether these are accredited laboratories.
- The hybrid maize varieties used to produce the novel food ingredient have not been identified.
- The novel food ingredient differs from "traditional" PDPs (food additive E1413) used for their highly specific rheological properties. The novel food ingredient is produced from an amylose-rich starch, whereas the "traditional" PDPs are produced from starches with lower amylose content. Any rheological properties of the novel food ingredient claimed by the applicant to be different from those of "traditional" PDP imply that its physical and chemical properties are different from those defined for food additive E1413.
- Whereas the additive E1413 is regarded as being totally digestible for the small intestine, the novel food ingredient would contain 92 % starch that remains undigested in the small intestine, if the resistant starch is quantified using the Englyst method (Englyst et al., 1996).
- The report on tolerance (Pieters et al., 1971, unpublished) has several limitations and cannot be used as evidence that the consumption of the novel food ingredient is safe for both children and adults in the quantities proposed.
- A study to determine the tolerable dose of the novel food in children is lacking and should be carried out before the novel food ingredient is authorised for children.
- Regarding possible gastro-intestinal intolerance and the validity of extrapolating results obtained in adults to children, concerns as to the degree of development of the microflora of children should be reconsidered in the light of recent studies which show that intestinal microbiota are established from birth (Adlerberth and Wold, 2009; Fanaro et al., 2003), are highly sensitive to the environment (Flint et al., 2007; Grönlund et al., 2007) and diet and develop rapidly during the first few years of life. Marker analysis shows almost adult maturity between the ages of two and five, with the establishment of late markers between the ages of 10 and 12 (Young et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2007).
- The small intestine digestion of the novel food ingredient and the fermentation values mentioned were obtained from *in vitro* measurements. No data obtained from animals are available.
- The validity of extrapolating the results of the studies, in particular of a toxicological nature, concerning chemically-modified starches with an amylose content of less than 30 % to the novel food ingredient should be evaluated. Because the novel food ingredient and the additive

E1413 have different physical and chemical properties, they cannot be digested and metabolised in the same way. Studies on humans and animals have to be carried out in order to evaluate the nutritional and physiological properties of the novel food ingredient prior to authorisation.

- Recent data suggest that high phosphate intakes have a deleterious effect on bone metabolism. The absorption of phosphates released after colonic fermentation of the novel food ingredient should be studied in order to eliminate any risk of hyperphosphatemia.
- Studies in healthy humans have shown a relationship of high serum phosphorus as well as a high phosphorus intake with vascular calcification and cardiovascular disease and mortality.
- The multiple uses of such products under successive authorisations make it difficult to assess exposure and monitor consumption.
- In case that an authorization is granted under the above-mentioned conditions, a survey could be conducted by the applicant in order to monitor the possible laxative effects of the product and additional phosphorus intake caused by the novel food ingredient.

#### **TERMS OF REFERENCE AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

In accordance with Article 29 (1) (a) of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, the European Food Safety Authority is asked to carry out the additional assessment for 'phosphated distarch phosphate' as food ingredient in the context of Regulation (EC) No 258/97.

EFSA is asked to carry out the additional assessment and to consider the elements of scientific nature in the comments raised by the other Member States.

## ASSESSMENT

In accordance with the Commission Recommendation 97/618/EC 'phosphated distarch phosphate' is allocated to Class 2.1 'a complex novel ingredient from non-GM sources that have already been used as a food in the Community'. The assessment of the safety of this novel food ingredient is based on data supplied in the original application, the initial assessment by the competent authority of the United Kingdom, the concerns and objections of the other Member States and the responses of the applicant to the Member States' concerns and objections. The data are required to comply with the information required for the novel foods of Class 2.1, i.e. structured schemes I, II, III, IX, X, XI, XII and XIII of the Commission Recommendation 97/618/EC. In the following text these structured schemes are listed 1 to 8. This assessment concerns only risk that might be associated with consumption and is not an assessment of the efficacy of 'phosphated distarch phosphate' with regard to any claimed benefits.

### 1. Specification of the Novel Food (NF)

The novel food ingredient is Phosphated Distarch Phosphate (PDP), a chemically modified resistant starch derived from high amylose maize starch. The trade names for this product are RS4-fibre modified starch (phosphated distarch phosphate), RS4 phosphated distarch phosphate, or Novelose® 480HA.

Starch typically consists of two polymers of glucose, amylopectin (highly branched) and amylose (almost linear). The maize starch source used for the production of the novel ingredient is a high-amylose starch. Hi-amylose starches typically consist of 50 - 80% amylose and 20 - 50% amylopectin. The novel ingredient is a chemically-modified starch obtained by combining chemical treatments to create phosphate cross links between carbohydrate residues and esterified at hydroxyl groups. The food additive E1413 is a PDP made originally using maize starch having <30 % amylose and manufactured using the same combined chemical treatments. The phosphorus in the phosphate cross links represented 0.01 % of the PDP and the phosphorus in the esterified groups 0.32 % (JECFA, 1982a). According to the applicant, the additive can also be manufactured using maize starch having 70 % amylose.

The various resistant starches are divided into four groups, Resistant Starch Type 1 (RS1) to Resistant Starch Type 4 (RS4). The RS4 group are starches that have been chemically-modified and includes starches that have been etherised, esterified or cross linked with chemicals in such a manner as to decrease their digestibility. Thus, the novel ingredient and E1413 are both RS4 starches and their specification gives the phosphorus content as <0.4 %.

The molecular formula of PDP is  $(C_6H_{10}O_5)_n [(C_6H_9O_5)_2PO_2H]_x [(C_6H_9O_5)PO_3H_2]_y$ ; where; n = the number of glucose units linked together; x and y = the degrees of substitution. The molecular weights are 50,000,000 for the amylopectin and 200,000 for the amylose (before cross-linking). The CAS Number for phosphated distarch phosphate is 11120-02-8.

The novel ingredient takes the form of a white or nearly white powder. The novel ingredient composition consists of covalently linked dietary fibre ( $\geq 70$  %, as measured by the appropriate AOAC method), starch (7-14 %), water (10-14 %), lipids (0.8 %), proteins (0.8 %) and phosphorus residues ( $\leq 0.4$  %, mainly covalently bound to the starch molecules).

The purity criteria for E1413 phosphated distarch phosphate, when used as a food additive, are laid out in Directive 2000/63/EC (EC, 2000) and the Food and Chemical Codex (FCC, 2003). The novel ingredient complies with the purity criteria of E1413, and the applicant has adopted additional specifications in accordance with the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). The specification of the novel ingredient is outlined in Table 1.

**Table 1:** Chemical, Physical and Microbiological Specifications for Novelose® 480HA

Parameter	Specification <sup>1</sup>	Test Method
<b>General Specifications</b>		
Appearance	Free flowing fine white powder	Visual inspection
Residual (bound) phosphorus	Not more than 0.4% (as phosphorus) "high amylose maize starch" as source	JECFA, 2001
Loss on drying (moisture content)	10 to 14% <sup>2</sup>	Sample dried for 4 – 6 hours at 130°C (+/- 2) in a convection oven <sup>3</sup>
Arsenic	Not more than 1 mg/kg	AOAC 985.01, 990.08, 984.27
Lead	Not more than 2 mg/kg	AOAC 985.01, 990.08, 984.27
Mercury	Not more than 0.1 mg/kg	AOAC 977.15
pH	4.5 to 7.5	CML 100A:20 <sup>4</sup> (using a pH meter)
Sulphur dioxide	Not more than 10 mg/kg (dry basis)	AOAC 990.28 (AOAC, 1995)
<b>Nutritional Data – Typical Values</b>		
Carbohydrate	7.0 % to 14 %	Calculation
Starch <sup>5</sup>	7.0 % to 14 %	Calculation
Sugar <sup>5</sup>	0 %	Calculation
Protein	0.8 %	Kjeldahl Method
Fat	1 %	AOAC 996.06
Saturated <sup>6</sup>	0.45 %	AOAC 996.06
Cholesterol <sup>6</sup>	None detected	AOAC 920.39, 983.23, 933.05
Energy (caloric value)	2 kcal/g	Calculation
Total dietary Fibre	Minimum 70 %	AOAC 991.43
<b>Microbiological Specifications</b>		
Total viable count (TVC)	Not more than 10,000 cfu/g	USP23/NF18 1995 (AOAC,1995)
Yeasts	Not more than 200 cfu/g	USP24/NF19 2000
Moulds	Not more than 200 cfu/g	USP24/NF19 2000
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Absent in 1 g	USP23/NF18 1995 (AOAC, 1995)
<i>Salmonella</i>	Absent in 25 g	USP23/NF18 1995 (AOAC, 1995)

Adapted from Directive 2000/63/EC

<sup>1</sup> All values expressed on an 'as is', as packed basis

<sup>2</sup> Directive 2000/63/EC specifies no more than 15.0 % for cereal starch as the source (i.e., high amylose maize starch)

<sup>3</sup> Analysis conducted for 4 hours at 130°C

<sup>4</sup> 10 % aqueous suspension

<sup>5</sup> Percentage of content

<sup>6</sup> Percentage of content

The analytical results for five batches of PDP have been provided by the applicant and are all within specification (Table 2). The analyses were performed by an accredited laboratory. The applicant determined the residual (bound) phosphorus using the JECFA method described in the Compendium of Food Additive Specifications for Modified Starch (FAO, 1997).

**Table 2:** Results of analyses for 5 batches of Novelose® 480HA

Analysis	Specifications	Lot Number				
		Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3	Batch 4	Batch 5
<b>General Specifications</b>						
Appearance	White powder	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Residual (bound) phosphate (% as phosphorus)	≤0.4	0.365	0.354	0.358	0.233	0.258
Loss on drying (%)	10 to 14	12.1	11.7	12.0	13.2	10.9
Arsenic (mg/kg)	< 1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Lead (mg/kg)	< 2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Mercury (mg/kg)	< 0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
pH	4.5 to 7.5	5.6	5.6	5.8	6.1	5.9
Sulphur dioxide (mg/kg)	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
<b>Nutritional Composition – Typical Values</b>						
Carbohydrate (%)	7-14	14.0	7.0	9.0	9.0	8.0
Starch (%)	7-14	14.0	7.0	9.0	9.0	8.0
Sugar (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protein (%)	0.8	<0.625	<0.625	<0.625	<0.625	<0.625
Fat (%)	1	0.99	1.0	0.96	1.0	0.93
Saturated (%)	0.45	0.42	0.44	0.43	0.46	0.40
Cholesterol (mg/100g)	None detected	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Total Dietary Fibre (%)('as is', as packed)	> 70	72	79.5	77	77	79
<b>Microbiological Specifications</b>						
Total viable count (TVC) (cfu/g)	< 10,000	< 10,000	< 10,000	< 10,000	< 10,000	< 10,000
Yeasts (cfu/g)	< 200	<10	<10	70	<10	<10
Moulds (cfu/g)	< 200	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Absent in 1 g	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
<i>Salmonella</i>	Absent in 25 g	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative

The applicant provided analyses of contaminants on one batch of the novel ingredient carried out by an accredited laboratory. The following contaminants were not detected above the detection limits: lead, cadmium, mercury, arsenic, aflatoxins B1, B2, G1 and G2, ochratoxin A and pesticide residues.

On a quarterly basis, the applicant carries out routine analyses of the raw starting material (unmodified high amylose maize) and the finished product, including pesticide residues (e.g., organochlorine, organophosphorus, pyrethroid, and miscellaneous pesticides), heavy metals, mycotoxins, nitrosamines, and microbiological contamination.

## 2. Effect of the production process applied to the NF

According to the applicant, the production process is not a “novel” food production method *per se*, but the novelty lies in the specific raw material used. The usual level of amylose in commercial sources of starches is 17-25 % with the rest being amylopectin, whereas the starting material for the

production of the novel ingredient is derived from high amylose maize grains, with a defined ratio of amylose:amylopectin. Thus, while the production processes used to produce the novel ingredient and the PDP additive (E1413) are similar, the maize varieties used as starting materials may differ in their amylose:amylopectin content.

The first stage of the production process consists of milling the high amylose maize grains using corn wet milling to obtain a starch slurry. This is mixed with a re-slurry of high amylose starch in water to obtain the starting material for the production of the novel ingredient. A combination of chemical treatments to induce specific degrees of esterification and cross-linking is then applied to this unmodified starch material to reduce its digestibility and obtain the novel ingredient. The applicant notes that the novel ingredient is produced using the reagents listed within the purity criteria of mono- and distarch additives<sup>5</sup> and thus, meets the existing specification for modified starch food additive E1413.

The production of the novel ingredient is carried out in accordance with Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) procedures. The applicant states that any impurities resulting from the production process will be detected through routine microbiological and mycotoxin testing undertaken at the manufacturing premises.

### *Stability*

Stability testing has not been carried out on the novel ingredient but the applicant has given a typical shelf-life of 720 days for PDP which coincides with the standard "best before date" for starch (24 months from the date of manufacture), set by the European Starch Industry in 1997. The applicant has not provided data examining stability in the intended food matrices.

### **3. History of the organism used as the source**

The maize grains are obtained from two proprietary non-GM maize hybrids specifically grown for the applicant, the name of which were provided to EFSA<sup>6</sup>. The maize grains contain high amylose starch granules that are not broken down at normal cooking temperatures. This also makes them more resistant to digestion by amylase in the human small intestine; they are therefore an appropriate source of starch for the novel ingredient. The applicant has indicated that new hybrids may be used in the future if they have improved agronomic characteristics.

The Panel notes that there is a substantial history of consumption of 'conventional' maize starch in the human diet. According to the applicant, there is a history of consumption of these maize hybrids in food products since the early 1960's. The applicant indicated that these hybrids are used to produce the Hi-Maize<sup>®</sup> product, which is a Resistant Starch Type 2 used in a variety of food products.

### **4. Anticipated intake/extent of the use of the NF**

The applicant is proposing to market the novel ingredient as a replacement for part of the digestible unmodified starch provided by food ingredients such as flour in low moisture food products (e.g. bread and bakery products, breakfast cereals, pasta and noodles, snacks and breadings), in order to increase dietary fibre content of those foods and consumption of resistant fibres.

---

<sup>5</sup> Commission Directive 2000/63/EC of 5 October 2000 amending Directive 96/77/EC laying down specific purity criteria on food additives other than colours and sweeteners (OJ 30.10.200 L277).

<sup>6</sup> Original text: The maize grains are obtained from two proprietary non-GM maize hybrids specifically grown for the applicant.

The maximum use level of 15 % novel ingredient is intended to be added to the following foods: batters and bread crumbs, sweet biscuits, cakes and muffins, pizza dough, breakfast cereals, nutritional and energy bars, savoury biscuits, crackers and non extruded snacks, canned pasta and pasta contained in ready meals. The level of phosphorus from the novel ingredient in these foods would be 0.06 %.

Based on these proposed use levels, the applicant has estimated the anticipated daily intake of the novel ingredient and its residual (bound) phosphorus for different population groups, using data from the UK National Diet and Nutrition Surveys (NDNS). These surveys covered young children aged 1.5 to 4.5 (1992-1993), young people aged 4 to 18 (1997) and adults aged 16 to 64 (2000-2001).

The applicant has indicated that the mean daily intake values of the novel ingredient for users of the product range between 4.9 and 9.0 g/person (equivalent to 0.07 and 0.17 g/kg bw respectively) and for high consumers (97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile) from 14.2 to 25.3 g/person (0.22 and 0.53 g/kg bw respectively) (Table 3). The mean daily intakes of phosphorus from the novel ingredient would range from 19.4 to 36.2 mg/person (0.29 and 0.68 mg/kg bw respectively) and at the 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile would range from 56.9 to 101.1 mg/person (0.87 and 2.11 mg/kg bw respectively) (Table 4).

**Table 3:** Summary of the Estimated Daily Intake of Novelose® 480HA from All Proposed Food Categories in the U.K. by Population Group, all-users consumption (NDNS Data)

Population Group	Age Group (Years)	% User	Actual nbr of Total Users	Total Intake			Intake Per Kilogram Body Weight				
				Mean (g)	Percentile (g)		Mean (g/kg)	Percentile (g/kg)			
					90	95		97.5	90	95	97.5
<b>Children</b>	1½ - 4½	96.8	1,595	5.5	10.7	12.9	15.6	0.38	0.76	0.94	1.09
<b>Young People</b>	4-10	99.5	833	8.1	13.5	15.8	18.4	0.32	0.55	0.65	0.74
<b>Female Teenagers</b>	11-18	96.6	431	7.0	12.4	14.4	16.9	0.14	0.27	0.32	0.38
<b>Male Teenagers</b>	11-18	98.3	409	9.0	16.9	21.1	25.3	0.17	0.33	0.39	0.53
<b>Female Adults</b>	16-64	87.2	835	4.9	10.0	12.1	14.2	0.07	0.15	0.19	0.22
<b>Male Adults</b>	16-64	89.4	685	6.8	14.6	17.8	20.8	0.08	0.18	0.22	0.25

On a body weight basis, the highest estimated intake of the novel ingredient is in children aged 1½ to 4½ years (mean: 0.38 g/kg bw per day, high level: 1.09 g/kg bw per day) (Table 3) and the corresponding phosphorus intake 1.54 mg/kg bw per day and 4.37 mg/kg bw per day respectively (Table 4).

The estimates for the 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile for each group are “worst case” intakes that would be reached if the incorporation of the novel ingredient was at the maximum level in all staple “starchy” foods targeted.

The UK Advisory Committee on Novel Foods and Processes (ACNFP) noted that the estimated intake of the novel ingredient was within the range of PDP intake tolerated in clinical studies (1 g/kg bw per day, Pieters et al., 1971) with the exception of high-level intake in small children. The Committee concluded that while there is a degree of conservatism in the calculation of the intake estimates, the potential for high levels of intake by young children requires careful consideration (see section 8.4.1.2).

The applicant has not included background sources of resistant starch, other modified starches or phosphorus in the intake assessment.

**Table 4:** Summary of the Estimated Daily Intake of Phosphorus from All Proposed Food Categories in the U.K. by Population Group, all-users consumption (NDNS Data)

Population Group	Age Group (Years)	% User	Actual number of Total Users	Mean (mg)	Total Intake			Intake Per Kilogram Body Weight			
					Percentile (mg)			Mean (mg/kg)	Percentile (mg/kg)		
					90	95	97.5		90	95	97.5
Children	1½ - 4½	96.8	1,595	21.8	42.9	51.8	62.5	1.54	3.05	3.78	4.37
Young People	4-10	99.5	833	32.4	54.1	63.0	73.5	1.28	2.19	2.60	2.95
Female Teenagers	11-18	96.6	431	27.9	49.8	57.4	67.7	0.55	1.08	1.28	1.51
Male Teenagers	11-18	98.3	409	36.2	67.6	84.2	101.1	0.68	1.33	1.57	2.11
Female Adults	16-64	87.2	835	19.4	39.9	48.4	56.9	0.29	0.61	0.74	0.87
Male Adults	16-64	89.4	685	27.2	58.4	71.1	83.2	0.33	0.70	0.87	1.01

## 5. Information from previous exposure to the NF or its source

The applicant reports that British adults consume 150 g of unmodified starches per day, which represents 24 % of their daily energy (COMA, 1991).

According to Directive 95/2/EC (EC, 1995) now superseded by Directive 1333/2008/EC (EC, 2008), the food additive E1413 is generally permitted for use in foodstuffs (with specific exceptions) following the *quantum satis* principle. The applicant has indicated that E1413 is currently used as a freeze-thaw-stable thickener in a range of food products (e.g. gravies, sauces, fruit fillings, soups) at levels of 2-5 %. The applicant has provided information showing that the estimated intake of E1413 from these foods is up to 420 mg/day for a UK high consumer. The use of the novel ingredient could thus lead to up to a 50-fold increase in intake of PDP as a worst case scenario.

Modified Resistant Starch Type 4 (RS4) products, derived from wheat, potatoes and high amylose maize, have been marketed and added as ingredients to food products at levels of 2.0 to 6.0 % in countries outside the EU, e.g. in Australia since 1994, Japan since 1995 and Canada and the USA since 2003. The applicant did not manufacture these products. No information has been provided on intake levels, numbers of consumers or reported adverse effects.

## 6. Nutritional information on the NF

The novel ingredient is intended for use in a range of foods where it would replace part of the digestible unmodified starch provided by ingredients such as flour. The principal use of the novel ingredient proposed by the applicant would be as a source of dietary fibre. Due to its high amylose content and chemical modifications, the novel ingredient is partially resistant to digestion within the small intestine the majority passing through to the large intestine where it is metabolised by bacteria. The energy content (or metabolisable energy) of the novel ingredient can be estimated to be about 2 kcal/g of product (EFSA, 2010).

No data on digestibility of the novel ingredient obtained from animals are available. The applicant provided information on the digestibility of the novel ingredient *in vitro*. When submitted to "Englyst Digestion" (Englyst method (1996), controlled enzymatic hydrolysis with pancreatic amylase and amyloglucosidase at 37°C), 8 % of the uncooked novel ingredient is digested after four hours. This rises to 30 % when the novel ingredient is cooked. The equivalent figures for unmodified maize starch are 85 % (uncooked) and 95 % (cooked). The rest of the novel ingredient (70 %-92 %) is, like other complex carbohydrates that survive passage to the large intestine, expected to be fermented by bacteria in the large intestine, producing short chain fatty acids (SCFA) such as acetate, propionate and butyrate and gases such as carbon dioxide, hydrogen and methane. The applicant commissioned a comparative study of the novel ingredient and Resistant Starch Type 2 high amylose maize starch fermentability through an *in vitro* batch fermentation culture assay using human faecal flora (O'Grady, 2007, unpublished). After 24-h, both starches were similarly fermented and resulted in accumulation of acetate, propionate and butyrate (The relative acetate:propionate:butyrate (mM) ratios were 43/16/16 for RS2 vs. 30/11/16 for the novel ingredient); numbers of bacteria (e.g. bifidobacteria, lactobacilli and atopobia) were slightly higher in the RS2 starch culture (differences of 0.5 log or less). RS2 category resistant starches are protected from digestion by the conformation of the starch granules. High amylose starch is a particular type of RS2 starch.

According to the applicant, the SCFA and a small amount of the gases are absorbed through the large intestine walls and a small amount of the unfermented novel ingredient is excreted in the faeces along with the majority of the gases created during the novel ingredient fermentation in the large intestine.

Based on the information provided on the composition and the proposed use level, the Panel considers the consumption of the novel ingredient as not nutritionally disadvantageous.

## **7. Microbiological information on the NF**

The manufacturing process for the novel ingredient is controlled through HACCP procedures and the microbiological quality of the novel ingredient has been defined in its specification, which sets limits for a number of undesirable and pathogenic microorganisms.

The Panel accepts that the production process does not give cause for microbiological concern.

## **8. Toxicological information on the NF**

The safety of the novel ingredient has been evaluated by the applicant on the basis of toxicological studies performed with two phosphated distarch phosphates, though not on the PDP that is the subject under review. In addition, information on other forms of modified starch e.g. monostarch and distarch phosphates, prepared using similar processes as for the novel ingredient, was taken into consideration. Monostarch phosphate is esterified only (no cross-links) and distarch phosphate is cross-linked only (and not esterified). Monostarch phosphate shares the same specification for residual phosphate as the novel ingredient, i.e. a maximum level of 0.4 %, which was set for cereal starches in Directive 2000/63/EC.

### **8.1. Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion (ADME)**

The information on the digestibility and metabolism of the novel ingredient is discussed in section 6.

No information was provided as regards the absorption of phosphates released after colonic fermentation of the novel ingredient.

## 8.2. Genotoxicity

No data are available on genotoxicity of modified starches. Considering the nature of the novel ingredient, the Panel considers that there are no concerns related to genotoxicity.

## 8.3. Animal studies

### 8.3.1. Acute studies

No acute oral toxicity studies are available for the novel ingredient. The applicant has referred to two acute studies with distarch phosphate, a modified starch prepared through cross-linking with sodium trimetaphosphate or phosphorus oxychloride, using mice, rats, guinea pigs, rabbits and cats (Hodge, 1954, 1956). These tests gave high LD<sub>50</sub> values of between 7 and 35 g/kg bw depending on the species.

### 8.3.2. Short-term studies

The applicant has referred to seven short-term studies, including subchronic studies in rats and dogs, carried out on PDP (though not on the PDP that is the subject under review) and/or distarch phosphate between 1963 and 1973. The studies were carried out with doses ranging from 0.2 % to 45 % of diet and durations between 10 and 90 days, using rats, pigs and dogs. These short-term studies did not reveal any significant adverse effects even at high dietary levels. Caecal enlargement in rats was observed at high intakes but without associated histopathological effects and this is considered to be an adaptive effect without toxicological relevance.

### 8.3.3. Chronic studies

The applicant provided the preliminary report (not containing the results of the histological examinations) of a 104-week chronic study on albino rats fed diets containing various modified starches including PDP at 0, 5, 10 and 30 % in the diet to groups of 30 rats/sex (de Knecht-Van Eekelen et al., 1971). This corresponded to doses of approximately 5, 10 and 30 g PDP/kg bw per day. The PDP was prepared through the cross-linking of unmodified maize starch with sodium trimetaphosphate (up to 0.04 % introduced phosphorus) and esterified with sodium tripolyphosphate<sup>7</sup> (up to a total content of 0.35 % bound phosphorus). An unmodified maize starch was used as the control material and to supplement the different diets in order to obtain the same total starch content. General condition, behaviour, mortality, body weights, feed consumption and feed efficiency were comparable in test and control groups. Diarrhoea did not occur. Haematology, clinical-chemistry and urine analyses did not reveal consistent changes related to the administration of the test material. Relative organ weights were comparable with those of the controls except for statistically significantly decreased spleen weights of male rats and increased spleen and kidney weights of female rats, when consuming the highest dose of test material. It was reported that these differences in organ weight were not associated with any gross pathological findings. No effect on caecal weights was observed.

According to the evaluation by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), the histological examinations did not show any distinct compound-related changes. The study did not reveal any indication of carcinogenicity. In comparison with the controls, males fed PDP at 30 % in the diet showed a slightly increased degree and incidence of focal hyperplasia of the renal papillary and pelvic epithelium, accompanied by calcified patches of the underlying tissue. The hyperplastic and calcified tissues often protruded into the renal pelvis and were localised most often in the papilla near

---

<sup>7</sup> "sodium trimetaphosphate" has been replaced by "sodium tripolyphosphate".

the junction of the papillary and pelvic epithelium. This lesion was seen to a slight or moderate degree in both sexes at most dose levels as well as in the controls but was more pronounced and of higher occurrence in males at the highest dose level. On the basis of a review of this type of mineral deposition in the renal pelvis of rats (Roe, 1979, unpublished), the JECFA considered that the observed kidney lesion was associated with imbalances of Ca/P and Mg in the diet (JECFA, 1982a). The Scientific Committee on Food (SCF) of the European Commission also considered the evidence on the appearance and mechanism of formation of pelvic nephrocalcinosis associated with consumption of PDP and other modified starches in its opinion on modified starches. The incidence apparently increased with the degree of substitution of the starch and with the age of animals when first exposed. The rat appeared to be a particularly sensitive species. Slow degradation of carbohydrates in the upper intestine led to the formation of absorbable breakdown products in the lower intestine, which was associated with enhanced calcium absorption. The SCF concluded that these findings were peculiar for the rat and had little relevance for the safety assessment of modified starches for man (SCF, 1982).

#### 8.3.4. Developmental and reproductive studies

A 3-generation reproduction study was carried out starting with groups of ten male and twenty female rats fed diets containing 10 % of various modified starches, including PDP derived from maize (Til et al., 1971; de Groot et al., 1974). The PDP as well as the unmodified starch used as the control were the same materials as in the chronic study. The rats (P1, F1 and F2 generation) were mated at week twelve and week twenty post weaning with the second litter of each additional generation used to produce the next generation. In animals fed PDP no adverse effects were observed regarding appearance, behaviour, body weights, fertility, litter size, resorption quotient, pup weights and mortality. The caecal weights were not affected, except for F1 parent males (increased filled caecum weight). Increased spleen weights were observed for F3b females. In gross examinations of the F3 generation rats no pathological changes attributable to the test material were observed. It was concluded that administration of none of these modified starches was associated with reproductive effects.

#### 8.3.5. Previous evaluations

On the basis of the studies described in the previous sections, JECFA in assessing the safety of PDP concluded that the available short-term studies in the rat, dog and pig do not reveal any significant adverse effects even at high dietary levels. The available evidence for modified starches as a group indicates that caecal enlargement without associated histopathological changes is without toxicological relevance. The long term and reproductive studies in the rat did not reveal any significant effects except for a slight increase in the incidence of renal focal hyperplasia and mineral deposit (at higher doses) which were considered to be associated with imbalances of Ca/P and Mg in the diet. The JECFA did not derive a numerical ADI ("ADI not specified"<sup>8</sup>) (JECFA, 1982a).

The SCF also accepted PDP (as well as several other types of modified starches, including monostarch phosphate and distarch phosphate) for use in food and considered it unnecessary to establish individual ADIs provided technological usage remained at present-day levels (SCF, 1982).

---

<sup>8</sup> The statement "ADI not specified" means that, on the basis of the available data (toxicological, biochemical, and other), the total daily intake of the substance arising from its use or uses at the levels necessary to achieve the desired effect and from its acceptable background in food, does not, in the opinion of the Committee, represent a hazard to health. For this reason, and for the reasons stated in individual evaluations, the establishment of an acceptable daily intake (ADI) in mg/kg bw is not deemed necessary.

However as indicated above, the estimated intake of the novel ingredient could be up to 50 times higher than the estimated intake resulting from current food additive uses in the UK.

## **8.4. Human studies**

### **8.4.1. Studies on PDPs**

#### **8.4.1.1. Effect in diabetic people**

In response to UK ACNFP questions concerning possible effects of the novel ingredient in diabetics, the applicant commissioned a study in healthy non-diabetic adults (Ellis and Frost, 2007, unpublished). Eleven fasted subjects were fed biscuits containing the novel ingredient at various levels (6.8, 13.6, 20.4 or 27.1 %) vs. control biscuits; the glycaemic response was measured over the subsequent 2-hour period. The presence of the novel ingredient at levels up to 27.1 % in biscuits did not alter the glycaemic response.

#### **8.4.1.2. Intolerance**

In response to a review article (Nugent, 2005) on possible intolerance to resistant starch caused by regular high consumption levels, the applicant described a summary report of unpublished human digestibility studies using one unmodified potato starch and five chemically modified starches, including one PDP from maize (Pieters et al., 1971). Ten volunteers completed this 6 week-trial in which they consumed 60 g/day (approximately 1,000 mg/kg bw per day) of one particular starch on 4 consecutive days each week. The summary report of this study indicates that no adverse effects were reported, the frequency of faeces, faecal water and lactic acid were not affected and the modified starches were well tolerated, although the observations were not separately provided for each of the five kinds of modified starches tested. One subject showed an abnormally high water percentage in faeces accompanied by an increased lactic acid excretion (modified starch consumed not specified). The authors report that on further inquiry, the subject declared that he had been sick one day after a meal containing fish, which apparently was not well tolerated. The applicant suggested that the Nugent review (2005) points to an absence of available data rather than specific concerns.

The Panel notes that this study used one PDP that is different from the novel ingredient under evaluation, which limits the conclusions that can be drawn with regard to the novel ingredient. The Panel notes, however, that resistant starches (mainly Type 2 and 3) have been shown to have a high laxation threshold in adults, since reports of diarrhoea were rare, even at levels as high as 80 g/day. The main side effect, excessive flatulence, is related to colonic fermentation and is significantly greater at intakes above 45 g/day (Grabitske and Slavin, 2009).

The Panel notes that there are relatively few published clinical studies on gastro-intestinal effects of low-digestible carbohydrates in children and adolescents and that these mostly have concerned sugar alcohols (Elia and Cummings, 2007; Grabitske and Slavin, 2009). The applicant suggested that the natural gelling properties of the starch would act to reduce diarrhoea and increase faecal bulk in small children. Resistant starch has been studied for its role in oral re-hydration therapy (Raghupathy et al., 2006). In children aged six months to three years with acute diarrhoea the addition of amylase resistant starch to glucose oral re-hydration solution significantly shortened the duration of diarrhoea compared with standard treatment.

### **8.4.2. Effect of phosphorus intake**

The applicant has provided nine human studies involving the oral administration of phosphate. The administered doses ranged from 750 mg/day for 7 days to 9.9 g/day for 2 years. Many of these studies,

especially those with a high dose, were carried out on patients with osteoporosis or idiopathic hypercalcaemia and therefore it is possible that these people have calcium and phosphate imbalances that may make them more tolerant of high doses of phosphates. Clinical blood chemistry and urinalysis were carried out in most of the studies and any subjective side effects reported by the subjects were noted. The main side effect of phosphate consumption was the occurrence of diarrhoea in many subjects. In one study (Bernstein and Newton, 1966), the rate of recurrence of renal calculi was reported to be reduced by the administration of sodium phosphate. Studies carried out on healthy subjects with doses of 3 g/day of phosphorus supplemented on top of a standard diet containing 1.7 g phosphorus/day, appeared to show similar incidences of diarrhoea and few effects on bone resorption or bone turnover (Grimm et al., 2001). In a study on the effect of oral phosphate therapy on 7 postmenopausal women with osteoporosis (a daily dose of approximately 1 g of phosphorus for more than 12 months), the number of bone resorption surfaces was reported to increase in all patients while the number of bone-forming surfaces decreased (Goldsmith et al., 1976).

The applicant referred to a number of scientific reports and safety evaluations for phosphorus. JECFA has set a maximum tolerable daily intake (MTDI) of 70 mg/kg for phosphoric acid and phosphate salts (JECFA, 1982b). The Food and Nutrition Board of the Institute of Medicine has set an upper level for phosphorus of 4.0 g/day for adults (IoM, 1997). The UK Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals established a guidance level for the supplemental intake of phosphorus of 250 mg/day, equivalent to 4.2 mg/kg bw in a 60 kg adult, which was expected not to produce adverse effects (EVM, 2003). The applicant concluded that the phosphorus consumption as a result of the consumption of the novel ingredient will be well within this guidance level. In addition, PDP will largely replace wheat flour which itself has a significant level of phosphorus, varying between 110 mg/100 g in plain white flour to 450 mg/100 g in white self-raising flour (UK FSA, 2002). Assuming a theoretical substitution ratio flour:novel ingredient of 1:1, the applicant has calculated that the addition of 15 g PDP to a food to replace flour would result in 47.1 mg phosphorus replacing 17-68 mg.

A report by EFSA (2005) estimated the habitual dietary intakes of phosphorus in European countries to be on average 1000 to 1500 mg/person per day, ranging up to about 2600 mg. EFSA concluded that the available data indicated that normal healthy individuals can tolerate phosphorus (as phosphate) intakes up to at least 3000 mg/person per day without adverse systemic effects and that there is no evidence of adverse effects associated with current dietary intakes of phosphorus in EU countries. The worst case scenario for the novel ingredient is calculated to be a daily intake of 101 mg phosphorus at the 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile for male teenagers and this would be partly offset by the phosphorus in digestible starch replaced by the novel ingredient. Member States have drawn attention to a number of reports that high phosphorus intakes may have a deleterious effect on bone metabolism (Kemi et al., 2006, 2008, 2009) while high serum phosphorus as well as high phosphorus intake levels are related to an increased risk of vascular calcification and cardiovascular disease and mortality (Onufrak et al., 2008; Tonelli et al., 2005; Dhingra et al., 2007). However the anticipated intake of phosphorus with the novel ingredient is low in comparison to the average daily intake.

## 8.5. Allergenicity

The applicant states that the novel ingredient has no allergenic potential although he has not provided any data to support this statement. The product specification allows up to 0.8 % protein, which can be assumed to be derived from the starting material, maize starch. Maize is not a common allergenic food and is only a rare cause of occupational allergy.

## DISCUSSION

The specification, composition of production batches, manufacture and checks on the levels of heavy metals, mycotoxins, pesticide residues, nitrosamines and microbiological contaminants are adequately described and details provided on the accreditation of the analytical laboratories. The production of

the novel ingredient and its chemical characteristics meet the EU specification for the PDP additive E1413. The Panel has no concerns regarding these aspects of the novel ingredient.

The applicant has described the uses of modified resistant starches in Australia since 1994, Japan since 1995 and Canada and America since 2003. However these PDPs are not manufactured by the applicant who would be unaware of any reported adverse health effects.

The applicant has estimated the intake of the novel ingredient, added at a level of 15 % in the range of low-moisture food products described, in various groups of the UK population. The highest calculated intake at the 97.5th percentile would be 25.9 g/person per day for male teenagers' equivalent to 0.53 g/kg bw per day while the highest 97.5th percentile intake on a body weight basis would be for children aged 1½ to 4½ years at 1.09 g/kg bw per day. These would be worst case scenarios based on all the food categories consumed having the maximum level of 15 % novel ingredient added. The novel ingredient has a composition of not more than 0.4 % phosphorus (as phosphate) and the highest intake would be 101 mg/person per day for male teenagers at the 97.5th percentile. The applicant has provided information showing that the estimated intake of PDP from food additive use (E1413) is up to 420 mg/day for a UK high consumer.

Some concerns have been raised that high levels of serum phosphorus and high intakes could have consequences for increased levels of vascular calcification, cardiovascular disease and mortality and bone resorption. However the average intake of phosphorus in European countries is between 1000 to 1500 mg/day ranging up to 2600 mg/day so that the addition of 101 mg/day in the highest consumers and an average for all consumers of less than 30 mg/day would be a very small increase. In addition the novel ingredient would replace digestible starches in flour which make their own contribution of phosphorus so that the novel ingredient phosphorus is not a net increase to the diet. The Panel concludes that the additional phosphorus in the novel ingredient will not have adverse effects.

The toxicological data described by the applicant have been accumulated over many years but have been carried out using monostarch phosphates (esterified only), distarch phosphates (cross linked only) and phosphated distarch phosphates (esterified and cross linked). All have consistently been shown to be non-toxic, adverse effects (pelvic nephrocalcinosis and associated hyperplasia) being observed only at high doses in the rat (30 g/kg bw per day) and interpreted by JECFA as probably the result of imbalances of Ca/P and Mg in the diet. The Panel concludes that phosphated distarch phosphates including the novel ingredient are non toxic at the intended use levels.

The initial assessment by the UK ACNFP concluded that the products containing the novel ingredient should carry a label that "products may cause laxation in small children". There is little evidence for this in the data provided and the applicant refers to a study that shows that the gelling properties of resistant starch act to reduce diarrhoea and to increase faecal bulk in small children. The Panel concludes that the novel ingredient is unlikely to cause laxation in small children at the proposed conditions of use and intake levels.

## CONCLUSIONS

The Panel concludes that the novel ingredient, a phosphated distarch phosphate is safe at the proposed conditions of use and intake levels.

## DOCUMENTATION PROVIDED TO EFSA

1. Dossier on 'phosphated distarch phosphate' received on 8 March 2010. Submitted by National Starch Food Innovation on 23 August 2005.

2. Letter from the European Commission to the European Food Safety Authority with the request for an opinion on the safety of 'phosphated distarch phosphate'. SANCO E4/AK/bs (2010) D/540062, dated 10 February 2010.
3. Initial assessment report carried out by UK: Advisory Committee on Novel Foods and Processes opinion on Phosphated Distarch Phosphate as a food ingredient.
4. Member States' comments and objections.
5. Response by the applicant to the initial assessment report and the Member States' comments and objections.

## REFERENCES

- Adlerberth I and Wold AE, 2009. Establishment of the gut microbiota in Western infants. *Acta Paediatrica*, 98, 229-238.
- Bernstein DS and Newton R, 1966. The effect of oral sodium phosphate on the formation of renal calculi and on idiopathic hypercalcuria. *Lancet*, 2, 1105-1107.
- COMA (Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy), 1991. Dietary Reference Values for Food Energy and Nutrients for the United Kingdom. Report of the Panel on Dietary Reference Values of the Committee on Medicinal Aspects of Food Policy. U.K. Department of Health, Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy (COMA), Her Majesty's Stationary Office (HMSO), London, UK.
- de Groot AP, Til HP, Feron VJ, Van der Meulle HCD, Willems MI, 1974. Two-year feeding and multigeneration studies in rats on five chemically modified starches. *Food and Cosmetics Toxicology*, 12, 651-664.
- de Knecht-van Eekelen A, Til HP, Willems MI, de Groot AP, 1971. Chronic (2-Year) Feeding Study in Albino Rats With Phosphated Distarch Phosphate (a Chemically Modified Starch). Report No. R 3392. Centraal Instituut voor Voedingsonderzoek, Zeist, The Netherlands.
- Dhingra R, Sullivan LM, Fox CS, Wang TJ, D'Agostino RB Sr, Gaziano JM, Vasan RS, 2007. Relations of serum phosphorus and calcium levels to the incidence of cardiovascular disease in the community. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 167, 879-885.
- EC (European Commission), 1995. Commission Directive 95/2/EC of 20 February 1995 on food additives other than colours and sweeteners. *Official Journal of the European Communities*, L 61, 56 pp.
- EC (European Commission), 2000. Commission Directive 2000/63/EC of 5 October 2000 amending Directive 96/77/EC laying down specific purity criteria on food additives other than colours and sweeteners. *Official Journal of the European Communities*, L 277/1, 61 pp.
- EC (European Commission), 2008. Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on food additives. *Official Journal of the European Communities*, L 354/16, 18 pp.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2005. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies on a request from the Commission related to the Tolerable Upper Intake Level of Phosphorus. *The EFSA Journal*, 233, 1-19.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2010. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies on a request from the European Commission on Dietary Reference Values for carbohydrates and dietary fibre. *The EFSA Journal* 2010; 8(3):1462 [77 pp.].
- Elia M and Cummings JH, 2007. Physiological aspects of energy metabolism and gastrointestinal effects of carbohydrates. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 61, S40-74.

- Ellis S and Frost G, 2007. Report on Testing of Glycaemic Response to 6 Biscuits of Various Levels of RS4-Fibre (phosphated distarch phosphate), Resistant Starch Compared to a Control Biscuit. Unpublished study report. Hammersmith food research, London, UK, 12 pp.
- Englyst HN, Kingman SM, Hudson GJ, Cummings JH, 1996. Measurement of resistant starch *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 75, 749-55.
- EVM (Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals), 2003. Safe Upper Levels for Vitamins and Minerals: Report of the Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals. Food Standards Agency (FSA), Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals (EVM), London, UK.
- Fanaro S, Chierici R, Guerrini P, Vigi V, 2003. Intestinal microflora in early infancy: composition and development. *Acta Paediatrica*, Suppl 91, 48-55.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization), 1997. Modified Starches. In: Compendium of Food Additive Specifications. Addendum 5. Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives 49<sup>th</sup> session, Rome, 17-26 June 1997. FAO Food and Nutrition Paper - 52 Add. 5., Rome, 91-110.
- FCC (Food Chemicals Codex), 2003. 5th Edition. National Academy Press, Washington DC, p. 183.
- Flint HJ, Duncan SH, Scott KP, Louis P, 2007. Interactions and competition within microbial community of the human colon: links between diet and health. *Environmental microbiology*, 9, 1101-1111.
- FSA (Food Standards Agency), 2002. McCance and Widdowson's Composition of Foods, Sixth summary edition. London, UK, p. 32.
- Goldsmith RS, Jowsey J, Dube WJ, Riggs BL, Arnaud CD, Kelly, 1976. Effects of phosphorous supplementation on serum parathyroid hormone and bone morphology in osteoporosis. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 43, 523-532.
- Grabitske HA and Slavin JL, 2009. Gastrointestinal Effects of Low-Digestible Carbohydrates. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 49, 327-360.
- Grimm M, Müller A, Hein G, Fünfstück R, Jahreis G, 2001. High phosphorous intake only slight affects serum minerals, urinary pyridinium crosslinks and renal function in young women. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 55, 153-161.
- Grönlund MM, Gueimonde M, Laitinen K, Kociubinski G, Grönroos T, Salminen S, Isolauri E, 2007. Maternal breast-milk and intestinal bifidobacteria guide the compositional development of the Bifidobacterium microbiota in infants at risk of allergic disease. *Clinical Experimental Allergy*, 37, 1764-1772.
- Hodge HC, 1954. Unpublished Report from University of Rochester, Division of Pharmacology & Toxicology, 8 October. Cited In: JECFA, 1974a.
- Hodge HC, 1956. Unpublished Report from University of Rochester, Division of Pharmacology & Toxicology, 26 May. Cited In: JECFA, 1974a.
- IoM (Institute of Medicine), 1997. Phosphorus. In: Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium, Phosphorus, Magnesium, Vitamin D, and Fluoride. National Academy of Sciences, Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes, Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine (IOM). National Academy Press (NAP); Washington, DC.
- JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives) / WHO (World Health Organization), 1982a. Phosphated distarch phosphate: In: Toxicological Evaluation of Certain Food Additives. 26th JECFA Session, Apr. 19-28, 1982, Rome. WHO Food Additives Series, No. 17.
- JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives) / WHO (World Health Organization), 1982b. Phosphoric acid and phosphate salts. In: Toxicological Evaluation of

- Certain Food Additives. 26th JECFA Session, Apr. 19-28, 1982, Rome. WHO Food Additives Series, No. 17.
- JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives), 2001. Modified starches: In: Compendium of Food Additive Specifications (Addendum 9): 57th JECFA Session, June 5-14, 2001, Rome. FAO Food and Nutrition Paper, No. 52 (Add. 9).
- Kemi V, Kärkkäinen M, Lamberg-Allardt C, 2006. High Phosphorus Intakes Acutely and Negatively Affect Calcium and Bone Metabolism in a Dose-Dependent Manner in Healthy Young Females. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 96, 545- 552.
- Kemi V, Kärkkäinen M, Karp H, Laitinen K, Lamberg-Allardt C, 2008. Increased Calcium Intake Does Not Completely Counteract the Effects of Increased Phosphorus Intake on Bone: A Dose-Response Study in Healthy Females, *British Journal of Nutrition*, 99, 832-839.
- Kemi VE, Rita H, Kärkkäinen MUM, Viljakainen H, Laaksonen M, Outila T, Lamberg-Allardt CJE, 2009. Habitual high phosphorus intakes and foods with phosphate additives negatively affect serum PTH concentration: A cross-sectional study on healthy pre-menopausal women. *Public Health Nutrition*, 16, 1-8
- Nugent AP, 2005. Health properties of resistant starch. *Nutrition Bulletin BNF*, 30, 27-54.
- O'Grady BM, 2007. National starch project, final report. Unpublished study report. The University of Reading, UK, 30 pp.
- Onufrak SJ, Bellasi A, Shaw LJ, Herzog CA, Cardarelli F, Wilson PW, Vaccarino V, Raggi P, 2008. Phosphorus levels are associated with subclinical atherosclerosis in the general population. *Atherosclerosis*, 199, 424-431.
- Pieters JLL, van Staveren WA, Brinkhuis BGAM, 1971. Digestibility studies with chemically modified starches in man, Report No. R3433. Unpublished study report. TNO Central Instituut voor Voedingsonderzoek, Zeist, The Netherlands, 7 pp.
- Raghupathy P, Ramakrishna BS, Oommen SP, Shovkat Ahmed M, Priyaa G, Dziura J, Young GP, Binder HJ, 2006. Amylase-Resistant Starch as Adjunct to Oral Rehydration Therapy in Children with Diarrhea. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*, 42, 362-368.
- Roe FJC, 1979. Mineral deposition in the renal pelvis of rats. A brief review. Unpublished report.
- SCF (Scientific Committee for Food), 1982. Second report on modified starches (Opinion expressed 12 June 1981). In: Food Science and Techniques. Commission of the European Communities (EEC), Scientific Committee for Food (SCF); Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food (13th Series), Brussels, Belgium, 7-9.
- Til HP, Spanjers MTh, de Groot AP, 1971. Multi-generation study in rats with five chemically modified starches, preliminary report, Report No. 3403. Unpublished study report. TNO Centraal Instituut voor Voedingsonderzoek, Zeist, The Netherlands, 17 pp.
- Tonelli M, Sacks F, Pfeffer M, Gao Z, Curhan G, 2005. Relation between serum phosphate level and cardiovascular event rate in people with coronary disease. *Circulation*, 112, 2627-2633.
- Xu J, Mahowald MA, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, Hamady M, Martens EC, Henrissat B, Coutinho PM, Minx P, Latreille P, Cordum H, Van Brunt A, Kim H, Fulton RS, Fulton LA, Clifton SW, Wilson RK, Knight RD, Gordon JI, 2007. Evolution of symbiotic bacteria in distal human intestine. *PloS biology*, 5, e156.
- Young VB, Schmidt TM, 2008. Overview of the gastrointestinal microbiota. *Advances in experimental medicine and biology*, 635, 29-40.

## GLOSSARY AND ABBREVIATIONS

ACNFP	Advisory Committee on Novel Foods and Processes
ADI	Acceptable Daily Intake
AOAC	Association of Official Analytical Chemists
MTDI	Maximum Tolerable Daily Intake
PDP	Phosphated Distarch Phosphate
RS	Resistant Starch
SCFA	Short Chain Fatty Acids
UK NDNS	UK National Diet and Nutrition Surveys